```
(History of Missions) Mr. Moffett - Spring, 1987
```


## Course Description

This survey of the expansion of the Christian church will outline the major periods of missionary outreach from the first to the 20 th century, noting patterns of advance and decline and analyzing factors which may have contributed to success or failure. It will discuss changing theories and methods of mission, and will include biographical sketches of key missionary and national church leaders.

## Textbook

The textbook will be Stephen Neill, A History of Christian Missions, (Penguin, 1964). Its purchase is recommended. Also worth buying, if you can find them, are any of the seven volumes of K.S. Latourette, A History of the Expansion of Christianity, and F.M. DuBose, Classics of Christian Missions.

## Requirements

1. In addition to readings as assigned weekly in the textbook, 800 pages of reading from the list of recommended books (on the attached lists) will be required as follows:

> 300 pages on pre-Reformation missions
> 300 pages on post-Reformation missions
> One missionary biography
2. Two book reports will be required. Each will contain a one-page outline or summary and an additional half-page of your own personal reaction to the book, whether positive or negative.
3. A mid-term test, tentatively scheduled for Thursday, April 2nd.
4. A 10 -page term paper will be required on one of the suggested topics (1ist attached separately), OR on a subject of your own choice (which must be approved by the professor).

## Schedule

Feb. 2 First class
Classes on Mon. 11-12:00; Wed., Fri. 11:40-12:30
Mar. 12 First book report due
Apr. 2 Mid-term test (one hour). Tentative date.
Apr. 23 Second book report due
Apr. 30 Last class
Term paper due on date set for final exam
Term papers and book reports may be turned in to the Faculty Secretary in Room 104 at 21 Dickinson Street. Any requests for time extensions or absences must be made to the office of Professional Studies in Room 118, Hodge Hall.
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## Outline and Main Chronological Divisions

There is no single satisfactory way to divide the history of the development of Christian Missions into neat regular time periods. There are too many currents and counter-currents to fit a systematic pattern. You should be familiar, however, with some of the better known attempts.
I. The most familiar pattern divides church history of which missions history is a part, into three periods: Ancient, Medieval and Modern. But there is no agreement as to when one ends and the next begins:

1. Ancient is variously dated up to Constantine ( 300 AD ) or as late as the conversion of northern Europe beginning in 700 AD .
2. Medieval, therefore, begins either in 300 or 700 AD , but by common consent ends with the Reformation, 1500 AD.
3. Modern, however, sometimes excludes the Reformation (which is often put in a separate period by itself) and may begin as late as 1650 to 1750, (the "Enlightenment" and Deism).
II. But Kenneth Scott Latourette's classic history of missions, the History of the Expansion of Christianity charts the history of the church in terms of waves of advance and recession:
4. The First Advance: Christianity Wins the Roman World (1-500)
5. The Great Recession: Barbarians and Muslims (500-950)
6. The Rising Tide in the West: Second Advance by Roman Catholics, Nestorians and Orthodox (950-1350)
7. The Second Recession: Fall of Mongols, Rise of Turks, Decline of the Papacy (1350-1500).
8. Three Centuries of Advance: Reformation and Counter-Reformation (1500-1750)
9. The Third Recession and Signs of Revival (1750-1815)
10. The Great Century: the Modern Missionary Movement (1815-1914)
11. Advance through Storm (1914-1945)
[To which we might add]:
12. Rising Tide in the Third World (1945-2000)
III. Our major textbook, however, Stephen Neill's A History of Christian Missions separates mission history up to 1500 AD into three periods of roughly 500 years each, and shorter irregular periods thereafter:
13. The Conquest of the Roman World (100-500 AD)
14. The Dark Age (500-1000 AD)
15. Early European Expansion (1000-1500)
16. The Age of Discovery (1500-1600)
17. Roman Catholic Missions (1600-1787)

New Beginnings, East and West (1600-1800)
6. New Forces in the West (1792-1858)
7. Heyday of Colonialism (1858-1914)

Rome, the Orthodox and the World (1815-1914)
8. 1914 and After
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IV. Still another systematic and easily remembered alternative comes from Ralph Winter, whose mathematical mind divides missions into equal periods of 400 years each:

1. Encounter with the Roman Empire; Rapid expansion (30-400 AD)
2. Encounter with Barbarians and Muslims; Structures of mission and the rise of Monasticism ( $400-800 \mathrm{AD}$ )
3. Encounter with the Viking World; Irregular expansion (800-1200 AD) with special notice of the Celtic church.
4. Upheaval in Western Europe, and the Reformation (1200-1600)
5. Encounter with the Non-Western World (1600-2000 AD)
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## MISSIONS CHRONOLOGY

AD
(many of the dates below are approximate)
19 ? Gundaphar becomes King in Northwest India

34
40
45-49
50-52
50
54
180?
96
300
301
311/13
320
325
328
339-380
341
345/6
367

410
413
420
431
432
440
451
457/8
486
496
497
523
529
540
542
547
550 ?
563

590

Philip and the Ethiopian eunuch
Addai's mission to Edessa (Osrhoene) (?)
Paul's first missionary journey, Asia Minor
Paul's mission to Europe (Greece)
Mission of Thomas to India (?)
Nero's persecution of Christians in Rome
Conversion? of Abgar VIII of Osrhoene (Edessa)
Reports of Christians among the Bactrians (Afghanistan)
David, Nestorian bishop, to India (?)
Tiridates, king of Armenia, converted by Gregory the Illuminator
Conversion of Constantine and Edict of Toleration
Arian Controversy
Council of Nicaea
Frumentius consecrated bishop for Ethiopia
330 Constantinople founded
The great Persian persecution
Mission of Ulfilas to the Goths
Thomas the Merchant brings Christian refuges to India (?)
361 Julian the Apostate
Mawiyya, "first Arab Christian queen".
407 Romans evacuate Britain
410 Alaric sacks Rome
First Nestorian Synod (Issac's)
Mission of Hayyan to Yemen (?)
2nd Nestorian Synod (Yaballaha's) declares Asia's independence
Patrick returns to Ireland
Nestorius condemned
Pope Leo the Great (r. 440-461)
Council of Chalcedon condemns monophysites
Egyptian monophysites (Copts) separate from west
476 Last western Roman emperor
School of Edessa exiled to Persia (Nisibis)
Clovis, King of the Franks, converted
Shah Kavadh of Persia sheltered by Christian Huns in Bactria
Christian Ethiopia invades Yemen, aids Arabian Christians
Benedict founds monastery at Monte Cassino
Mar Aba I, Nestorian Patriarch
Jacab Baradaeus initiates Monophysite missions, western Asia
Cosmas Indicopleustes finds Christians in India and Ceylon
Scriptures translated into language of Huns, Bactria
552 Justinian reunites Rome
Columba, "apostle to Scotland", founds Iona
568 Lombards take Roman west
571 Birth of Mohammed
Pope Gregory the Great (r. 590-604)
Augustine of Canterbury's mission to Britain
611 Roman Empire becomes Greek
622 The Hegira (to Medina)
633 Death of Mohammed
Alopen; Nestorian mission to T'ang China
Aidan; mission from Iona to northern England (Northumbria)

Missions Chronology - continued
$6 \frac{\mathrm{AD}}{64}$

Synod of Whitby; Celtic and papal missions begin to merge Willibrord; beginning of Anglo-Saxon missions to Europe
Persecution of Nestorians in China
Theodore of Tarsus to Canterbury
710 Islam invades Spain
Boniface (Winfrith), "apostle to Germans", to Europe
732 Charles Martel stops Arabs
China's Nestorians change name from "Persian" to "Syrian" church
751 Chinese clash with Arabs
756 Charlemagne, Kg. of Franks
Copper charter granted to Christians in India (Kerala)
Nestorians consecrate bishop for Central Asiatic Turks Nestorian monument in China

786 Danes attack England
Pope crowns Charlemagne Holy Roman Emperor
Ansgar, "Apostle to the north"; mission to the Danes
Cyril and Methodius, "apostles to the Slavs", in Bohemia
Baptism of Boris, king of the Bulgars
Nestorian bishopric in Burma, at Pegu
Olga of Kiev baptized, beginning of misson to Russia
Duke Mieszka of Poland baptized
Bp. Adalbert of Prague begins Czech mission to Magyars, Poles
Conversion of archduke Vladimir of Kiev (Russia)
Stephen, king of Hungary, leads mass conversion of Magyars
Nestorians convert prince of the Keraits (Mongolia)
The first Crusade; Christians invade Seljuk Turk empire
Dominic organizes Dominicans
Francis of Assissi preaches to the Sultan of Egypt
Kublai Khan asks Pope for missionaries ot Mongols
Nestorian church reorganized in Far East
Nestorians elect Mark, a Mongol monk, as Patriarch in Baghdad
John of Montcorvino brings Roman Catholicism to China
Raymond Lull, missionary to Muslims, marytred in Algeria
Jordanus, first Roman Catholic bishop in India (Kerala)
Tamerlane begins extinction of Christianity in Central Asia
Mongolia converts to Buddhism
1453 Fall of Constantinople
United Brethren (Moravians) organize Christian village
Russia expels Muslim Mongols; restores Christian state
First Roman Catholic missionaries to Zaire
Portugese convert African chief in Senegal
King of Benin (Nigeria) baptized
1492 Columbus to America
Pope divides world between Portugal (Africa, Asia) and Spain for missions.
African chief in Congo baptized
Franciscan college in Haiti
First Roman Catholic diocese in America (Puerto Rico)
First mission to Cuba (Dominicans)
1518 Martin Luther's theses
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## Suggested Topics for a Term Paper

Did Thomas Reach India? A Look at the Evidence.
Stages of Mission: Examples and Problems of Dividing Missions History into Alternating Periods of Advance and Decline.

The Conversion of the Roman Empire: Reasons for the Success
Celtic Missions: Historical Sketch and Analysis
Benedict: The Man, the Rule, and the Effect on Missions.
Gregory the Great and His Principles of Mission Strategy.
Cyril and Methodius: Mission, Imperialism and Church Politics.
The Emergence of Missionary Orders in Medieval Europe (Choose one order).
The Missionary Methods of Francis Xavier and de Nobili in India: A Contrast.

Matthew Ricci: A Case Study in Mission Strategy.
The Rites Controversy in China: Lessons for Contextualization
Why Did the Nestorians Disappear in China?
The Moravians and Missions

Hudson Taylor and the Voluntary Principle in Missions
The 1837 Presbyterian Controversy on Voluntary Societies.

A History of Missions in your own Denomination (Brief historical outline, and analysis of strengths and missions. Where most successful? Statistics of personnel rise or decline and financial support.

Third World Missions

The Impact of Student Movements on Missions

Analytical biography of a missionary or national church leader.
19th Century Women and Missions
Missions to Native Americans

OR choose a topic yourself which interests you, and secure the approval of the professor.
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## Course Description

This survey of the expansion of the Christian church will outline the major periods of missionary outreach from the first to the 20 th century, noting patterns of advance and decline and analyzing factors which may have contributed to success or failure. It will discuss changing theories and methods of mission, and will include biographical sketches of key missionary and national church leaders.

## Textbook

The textbook will be Stephen Neill, A History of Christian Missions, (Penguin, 1964). Its purchase is recommended. Also worth buying, if you can find them, are any of the seven volumes of K.S. Latourette, A History of the Expansion of Christianity, and F.M. DuBose, Classics of Christian Missions.

## Requirements

1. In addition to readings as assigned weekly in the textbook, 800 pages of reading from the list of recommended books (on the attached lists) will be required as follows:

> 300 pages on pre-Reformation missions
> 300 pages on post-Reformation missions
> One missionary biography
2. Two book reports will be required. Each will contain a one-page outline or summary and an additional half-page of your own personal reaction to the book, whether positive or negative.
3. A mid-term test, tentatively scheduled for Thursday, April 2nd.
4. A 10 -page term paper will be required on one of the suggested topics (list attached separately), OR on a subject of your own choice (which must be approved by the professor).

## Schedule

Feb. 2 First class Tues. Fri. 2i- 30 2e
Nar. 12 First book report due Manch 3, $2^{23} 1 . m$.
Apr. 2 Mid-term test (one hour). Tentative date.
Apr. 23 Second book report due Apr. 7
Apr. 30 Last class May 1
Term paper due on date set for final exam

Term papers and book reports may be turned in to the Faculty Secretary in Room 104 at 21 Dickinson Street. Any requests for time extensions or absences must be made to the office of Professional Studies in Room 118, Hodge Hall.
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## Outline and Main Chronological Divisions

There is no single satisfactory way to divide the history of the development of Christian Missions into neat regular time periods. There are too many currents and counter-currents to fit a systematic pattern. You should be familiar, however, with some of the better known attempts.
I. The most familiar pattern divides church history of which missions history is a part, into three periods: Ancient, Medieval and Modern. But there is no agreement as to when one ends and the next begins:

1. Ancient is variously dated up to Constantine ( 300 AD ) or as late as the conversion of northern Europe beginning in 700 AD.
2. Medieval, therefore, begins either in 300 or 700 AD , but by common consent ends with the Reformation, 1500 AD.
3. Modern, however, sometimes excludes the Reformation (which is often put in a separate period by itself) and may begin as late as 1650 to 1750, (the "Enlightenment" and Deism).
II. But Kenneth Scott Latourette's classic history of missions, the History of the Expansion of Christianity charts the history of the church in terms of waves of advance and recession:
4. The First Advance: Christianity Wins the Roman World (1-500)
5. The Great Recession: Barbarians and Muslims (500-950)
6. The Rising Tide in the West: Second Advance by Roman Catholics, Nestorians and Orthodox (950-1350)
7. The Second Recession: Fall of Mongols, Rise of Turks, Decline of the Papcy (1350-1500).
8. Three Centuries of Advance: Reformation and Counter-reformation (1500-1750)
9. The Third Recession and Signs of Revival (1750-1815)
10. The Great Century: the Modern Missionary Movement (1815-1914)
11. Advance through Storm (1914-1945)
[To which we might add]:
12. Rising Tide in the Third World (1945-2000)
III. Our major textbook, however, Stephen Neill's A History of Christian Missions separates mission history up to 1500 AD into three periods of roughly 500 years each, and shorter irregular periods thereafter:
13. The Conquest of the Roman World (100-500 AD)
14. The Dark Age (500-1000 AD)
15. Early European Expansion (1000-1500)
16. The Age of Discovery ( $1500-1600$ )
17. Roman Catholic Missions (1600-1787)

New Beginnings, East and West (1600-1800)
6. New Forces in the West (1792-1858)
7. Heyday of Colonialism (1858-1914)

Rome, the Orthodox and the World (1815-1914)
8. 1914 and After
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IV. Still another systematic and easily remembered alternative comes from Ralph Winter, whose mathematical mind divides missions into equal periods of 400 years each:

1. Encounter with the Roman Empire; Rapid expansion (30-400 AD)
2. Encounter with Barbarians and Muslims; Structures of mission and the rise of Monasticism (400-800 AD)
3. Encounter with the Viking World; Irregular expansion (800-1200 AD) with special notice of the Celtic church.
4. Upheaval in Western Europe, and the Reformation (1200-1700)
5. Encounter with the Non-Western World (1600-2000 AD)
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Latin America

## MISSIONS CHRONOLOGY

AD
? Gundaphar becomes King in Northwest India
34
40
45-49
50-52
50
54
180 ?
96
300
301
311/13
320
325
328
339-380
341
345/6
367

410
413
420
431
432
440
451
457/8
486
496
497
523
529
540
542
547
550 ?
563

Pope Cregory the Great (r. 590-604)
Augustine of Canterbury's mission to Britain
611 Roman Empire becomes Greek
622 The Hegira (to Medina)
633 Death of Mohammed
Alopen; Nestorian mission to T'ang China
Aidan; mission from Iona to northern England (Northumbria)
642 Persia falls to Islam

```
Missions Chronology - continued
```

AD

Synod of Whitby; Celtic and papal missions begin to merge
Willibrord; beginning of Anglo-Saxon missions to Europe
Persecution of Nestorians in China
Theodore of Tarsus to Canterbury
710 Islam invades Spain Boniface (

732 Charles Martel stops Arabs
China's Nestorians change name from "Persian" to "Syrian" church
751 Chinese clash with Arabs
756 Charlemagne, Kg. of Franks
Copper charter granted to Christians in India (Kerala)
Nestorians consecrate bishop for Central Asiatic Turks Nestorian monument in China

786 Danes attack England
Pope crowns Charlemagne Holy Roman Emperor
Ansgar, "Apostle of the north"; mission to the Danes Cyril and Methodius, "apostles to the Slavs", in Bohemia Baptism of Boris, king of the Bulgars Nestorian bishopric in Burma, at Pegu
01ga of Kiev baptized, beginning of mission to Russia Duke Mieszka of Poland baptized
Bp. Adalbert of Prague begins Czech mission to Magyars, Poles Conversion of archduke Vladimir of Kiev (Russia)
Stephen, king of Hungary, leads mass conversion of Magyars
Nestorians convert prince of the Keraits (Mongolia)
The first Crusade; Christians invade Seljuk Turk empire
Dominic organizes Dominicans
Francis of Assissi preaches to the Sultan of Egypt
Kublai Khan asks Pope for missionaries to Mongols
Nestorian church reorganized in Far East
Nestorians elect Mark, a Mongol monk, as Patriarch in Baghdad John of Montcorvino brings Roman Catholicism to China Raymond Lull, missionary to Muslims, martyred in Algeria Jordanus, first Roman Catholic bishop in India (Kerala) Tamerlane begins extinction of Christianity in Central Asia Mongolia converts to Buddhism

1453 Fall of Constantinople
United Brethren (Moravians) organize Christian village
Russia expels Muslim Mongols; restores Christian state
First Roman Catholic missionaries to Zaire
Portugese convert African chief in Senegal
King of Benin (Nigeria) baptized
1492 Columbus to America
Pope divides world between Portugal (Africa, Asia) and Spain for missions.
African chief in Congo baptized
Franciscan college in Haiti
First Roman Catholic diocese in America (Puerto Rico)
First mission to Cuba (Dominicans)
1518 Martin Luther's theses

First Roman Catholic missionaries in Tanzania

EC 22. History of Missions.
Suggested Topics for a Term Paper
Did Thomas Reach India? A Look at the Evidence.
Stages of Mission: Examples and Problems of Dividing Missions History into Alternating Periods of Advance and Decline.
The Conversion of the Roman Empire: Reasons for the Success
Celtic Missions: Historical Sketch and Analysis
Benedict: The Man, the Rule, and the Effect on Missions.
Gregory the Great and His Principles of Mission Strategy.
Cyril and Methodius: Mission, Imperialism and Church Politics.
The Emergence of Missionary Orders in Medieval Europe (Choose one order).
The Missionary Methods of Francis Xavier and de Nobili in India: A Contrast.
Matthew Ricci: A Case Study in Mission Strategy.
The Rites Controversy in China: Lessons for Contextualization
Why Did the Nestorians Disappear in China?
The Moravians and Missions
Hudson Taylor and the Voluntary Principle in Missions
The 1837 Presbyterian Controversy on Voluntary Societies.
A History of Missions in your own Denomination (Brief historical outline, and analysis of strengths and missions. Where most successful? Statistics of personnel rise or decline and financial support.
Third World Missions
The Impact of Student Movements on Missions
Analytical biography of a missionary or national church leader.
19th Century Women and Missions
Missions to Native Americans
OR choose a topic yourself which interests you, and secure the approval of the professor.
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History of Missions EC 22
\& Clustoisis, Pup. Kennith Scot latomette of Yale, the greatest Instinain of unsung in tho century, begins the lust $q$ his seven-wlume Heston of the Expansion of Chuntionty in th two $q$ Matinew's parables of the Kupdom The parable of the mustard seed "Which, when it is sorn in the earth, is les than all the seed $q$ the earth: but when it is some, it gratin up, and becomes greater than all herbs."; and the parable $f$ the leaven "Which a woman torsk and hid in three measmas of meal, tel the while was leavened.
"Cluntunntr began as a mel gers sect," he unites, "l oread at askance by the leaders of the nation, numerically mine of the least Considerable of the mann fenttis and relyims siceites of the recenter founded Roman Empires. Yet geoprephically it has speed more wisely them any other relyem in all the millenniums of mankind long listing." Its longest segment, "the Roman Cethorie Chi is to be fond in move countries and peoples them any thees neomization, political, economic, n ecclesiastical, ever kaon to mom." Its influence, tho, has been unmence, but unmeasineble. "Who cen determine, In instance, precisely, how for the family
of 20 th centime Envied America has been unmolded by it, it what extent $19^{\text {in }}$ ad $20^{\text {in }}$ democracy is its product, a even to what deque the abolition of human slavey in the $19^{2}$. century among Wester peoples can be acrized to $t$ ? Yet that int all three $g$ there Chuntaints has entered no me with a kurwledpe of the forts can well demy. The Chustion leaven Las $k$ a jester a less extent moidfied every envimment wits which it has penetrated." (Vol I, p. ix).

That was witter alums fits years ago.
 -ts grith -1 exparsan, was most reassuring then. But since then bath the Cluntom ehich. of the Clunition unld mansion have received sse pretty hard knocks. Wive had "God is dead" Theonery, and "Misumary go hone" Chustianity to extend with, and Chuntioins aren't quite as sure as they mince were that nusumo are here to ot an.

Well, god unt as dead as the "death y God" Theitupiair once thinght. Yon com buy then busk totang by weigint on the priblisheas dead-and shelves, and the Brake is sutl, sunpusught, the bast-selliug brik in the unld. And the unsumain, unstead y going hame, is stall going ant $t$ the ouds $q$ the earth - whethen it be the Promy, a Bunma n Burundi in ever moreasing, not decreasing numbers.
[In the five yeas furm 1975.79, American misuminy fersonnel uncreased 27\% (lum 35,000 t 44,500), and it shast-term minomanis are factrad in on a yeen-pu-furm basis, the leap upward is even une dramatic - a $50 \%$ maciase in the number 7 Arerican misumaies in fine yeirs. And better yet - misimen can no lonper be descuhed in terms of westem musimanes going anerseas inte the third-unld. The great new fact in musinis totan is thet the thind-unid tself has becone a musimany base. Thind-unld musums is the noxciting new phase of the Chistian unld mision. It is jint bepinnmp, but iftos aready, hoo added [15,000 \$] 20,000 now misumaines the could's misimain frce in the last twerter year. (1966-85).

Bot of you are still simehow dscomeped absul mosinis, tahe aurites lolk at the listing $f$ mosinis, which is shat this counse is all abont. Minsins has been comited out bepre. And it has alwapp come bach.

THE HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF CHRISTIAN EXPANSION
EC 22 - Mr. Moffett - page 3

## Outline and Main Chronological Divisions Thstom wresnt munk pernt ; molmen wo

There is no single satisfactory way to divide the history of the development of Christian Missions into neat regular time periods. There are too many currents and counter-currents to fit a systematic pattern. You should be familiar, however, with some of the better known attempts.
I. The most familiar pattern divides church history of which missions history is a part, into three periods: Ancient, Medieval and Modern. But there is no agreement as to when one ends and the next begins:

1. Ancient is variously dated up to Constantine ( 300 AD ) or as late as the conversion of northern Europe beginning in 700 AD .
2. Medieval, therefore, begins either in 300 or 700 AD , but by common consent ends with the Reformation, 1500 AD.
3. Modern, however, sometimes excludes the Reformation (which is often put in a separate period by itself) and may begin as late as 1650 to 1750, (the "Enlightenment" and Deism).
II. But Kenneth Scott Latourette's classic history of missions, the History of the Expansion of Christianity charts the history of the church in terms of waves of advance and recession:
4. The First Advance: Christianity Wins the Roman World (1-500)
5. The Great Recession: Barbarians and Muslims (500-950)
6. The Rising Tide in the West: Second Advance by Roman Catholics, Nestorians and Orthodox (950-1350)
7. The Second Recession: Fall of Mongols, Rise of Turks, Decline of the Papcy (1350-1500).
8. Three Centuries of Advance: Reformation and Counter-reformation (1500-1750)
9. The Third Recession and Signs of Revival (1750-1815)
10. The Great Century: the Modern Missionary Movement (1815-1914)
11. Advance through Storm (1914-1945)
[To which we might add]:
12. Rising Tide in the Third World (1945-2000)
III. Our major textbook, however, Stephen Neill's A History of Christian Missions separates mission history up to 1500 AD into three periods of roughly 500 years each, and shorter irregular periods thereafter:
13. The Conquest of the Roman World (100-500 AD)
14. The Dark Age (500-1000 AD)
15. Early European Expansion (1000-1500)


New Beginnings, East and West (1600-1800) Quldo. 1.1
16. New Forces in the West (1792-1858)
17. Heyday of Colonialism (1858-1914)

Rome, the Orthodox and the World (1815-1914)
8. 1914 and After

EC 22 - THE HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF CHRISTIAN EXPANSION - page 4
IV. Still another systematic and easily remembered alternative comes from Ralph Winter, whose mathematical mind divides missions into equal periods of 400 years each:

1. Encounter with the Roman Empire; Rapid expansion ( $30-400 \mathrm{AD}$ )
2. Encounter with Barbarians and Muslims; Structures of mission and the rise of Monasticism ( $400-800 \mathrm{AD}$ )
3. Encounter with the Viking World; Irregular expansion (800-1200 AD) with special notice of the Celtic church.
4. Upheaval in Western Europe, and the Reformation (1200-1/600)
5. Encounter with the Non-Western World (1600-2000 AD)

Stell authen mipntant systematization of the hasistical of Chustain expension is that of David Banett, editn of the invaluable Wuld Chistum Encyclopedie Guit last fiell he puthinet an article in The International Bulletin y Misimany Reseanch (Vol.8, No. 4- Qtt. 1984 . Ip 160-167):

Fra I. The Apstolic Ena. (Prenmetri ira), 30-500 A.D.
Main charecteristic - Global intress.
Masin simile unoratos - Preumatic musion
Prophet - Whe, whose ission was reachmy the whole uneld.
Arpect a Grat Commisin - "Go".
Tmplemutes - Panl.
Wold pupuitation at stout 7 ere, ader win din $169,700,000 .\left[+24,700,000=14 \frac{1}{2}\right.$ ?
Cluntion at start q era
Churtions at end q era

$$
\begin{aligned}
2,000 & =0,0 \% \\
13,400,000 & =22,4 \% 1 \text { wald } \\
& =+43,398,000
\end{aligned}
$$

Fra II. The Ecclesastical Era (Baptional ere) 500-1750 A.D
Main chacturitici- SCobal Chistion mesuce.
Moyn smide wosition mem baptitise (s)
Prophet. Cormas Indicoplenstes whose vsion was baptizniy the whole uned.
Implementes - Franas Xaner, $16^{n^{\prime}}$ c promier mossimany
Wreld prpmbation at stant y era

$$
\text { Chintain at stant o } E_{2}(10.1 \mathrm{mis} \mathrm{mp})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
193,400,000[ & +527,300,000=27.3 \% \\
43,400,000 & =22.4 \% \\
160,000,000 & =22.2 \%=-0.290 \\
& =+116,600,000
\end{aligned}
$$

Fira. III. The Chuch Gunoth Ere (Black Chichis Ex) 1750-1900 10D
Mam charaturistic - glonal clunk-planting
Puphet - Wilhain Carey - Whre nsum was converting the unld.

Wred pupnetion at stant of ere.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 720,700,000[+899200,000=12.590 \\
& 160,000,000=22.220 \\
& 558,100000=34.4 \%=+12.200
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Chintiom at stant } 9 \text { ena } 160,100,00001+8999200,000
$$

Chuntian at end q era

Tha IV. The Global Minsion tha (Muttidimensinal Sm) 1900-1990 AD. Majis charecteristic - Global Chisitain commmincatorn
Main univatuon - Global Chintion broadcastip.
Prophet - Jolm R.Mot, whre nsion was evanpelizany the uned.

Wuld pypuration at stant a ere.
Clunteris at stant qera
Chnituin at end 7 era (19\%
waly of wh 心. 198 - 4,867 orem
$\pm 3543,165,502$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 558,100,000(=34.42) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
1,572 / 875, \text { ov0 }=32,49 \\
1,656,640, \text { onJ }=322 \%=-2.39
\end{array} \\
& =+1,048,545,000
\end{aligned}
$$

Fra I. The Global Disajing Era (Univesal Respmee na) 1990 - AD.
Megri charatentici - global access to all.
Magri unoviation - global Cluntum information

Wred foppuletion at stant qera 5,163,065,000
Chuttoin at stant y ere ( $41 \mathrm{w}^{\prime} \mathrm{mp}$ ) $1,656,645,000=32.1 \%$

Dand Bancit - TuH.Buleting Anw. Reseach. we ס (Na.y (DAt'84) Hp-160-167,

Tra I. Aentiri Sra (Prenmatri) 30-500 AD Charatersitic - Geotral Wituses. Imworation - Previmatic (H.S.) nurowin Prophet-Inke Implementer - Paul.

Wild popmetion uncerese $170 \mathrm{~m}-193 \mathrm{~m}=+23 \mathrm{~m}=13,5 \%$, Chuitumn increase

$$
2,001-43 m_{1}=+43 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fra II. Ecclescistical Era (Bapthimal) 500-1750 AD
(Lat muete II-2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Charatricitic - Glibal Chistion Prusence. Inuratini- mas bapiosms } \\
& \text { Priphet - Coomes Sadicpluntes. Esapesertites-Ganis Xavier. } \\
& \text { Wial prputétim ncriere } \\
& 193 \mathrm{~m}-721 \mathrm{~m} \text {. } \\
& =274 \% \\
& \text { Chintion morevise } \\
& 43 m-160 m \text {. }:+117 m \text {. } \\
& \therefore 272 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Ena III. Chuch Gooth Ena iblech ch. ink 1750-1900 AD
(Litinett VI- VII)
Chanectentior glimal cluch plonting. Ennoretion. Tise a bleck charches.
Propret - Willeam Consey. Implemanter. Herry Veun
Wuld por. inclease
Chisition marive

| 721 m .1620 m. | $=125 \%_{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 160 m .558 m. | $: 299 \%_{0}$ |

Ena IV. Globil Masion Era (Austidimasionaf-prochich) 1950-2000 AD


Popinet PomR, Mortt
Wineld pp uncimas
TMaprater - Kounetionsubbs

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1620 \mathrm{~m}-4847 \mathrm{~m} \cdot(1986)= & =200 \% \\
558-1656 \mathrm{~m} \cdot(940) & = \\
& =197 \%
\end{array}
$$

## INTRODUCTION TO MISSIOLOGY

Samuel H. Moffett

> I. Introduction: A Uhronology of Missions

Let me berin this course on Missiology, the science of missions, with an introductory outline of the history of missions to give you some historical hooks in chronological sequencer on which y u may hang the mass of facts and theories on which the science of missions is based. The classic outline of missions history is that given by Prof. Kenneth Scott Latourette of Yale in his massive, sevenvolume History of the Expansion of Christianity. It divides the history of missions into eight major periods from the time of the apostles down to the end of morld war II in 1945.
I. The First Advance (1 - 500 A. D.)
"The first great ceographic triumph of Christianity," writes Dr. Latourette, "was the winning of the cultural area into which it was borm, the Mediterrmean world" of thekoman Enpire. It sub-divides into two sections:

> A. $\quad 1-313$ A. D. The Winning of Freedom for the Faith. B. $313-529$ A. D. The Completion of the Conversion of the Enpire.
II. The Great Recession (500-950 A. D.)

Although in this period there were great missionary successes, notably the extension of the faith in western and Northern Europe feom England to Scandinavia, and the remarkable missions of the Nestorians across Asia as far as China, nevertheless two decisive factors made it a period of net loss for the faith rather than gain. These tro were the fall of the Roman Empire, and the rise and spread of Islan. The number of people in gurope that entered the church between the years 500 and 1000 (some would say 1500), was equalled by the number lost to Christianity in Africa and Asia during the same period. (Freitag, 20th C. Atlas of Christian world, p. 60)
III. The Second Advance (950-1350 A. D.)

The tenth century saw a revival of Roman Catholic zeal and missionary outreach, particularly through the reforms and disciplines of the monastic movernent. The Nestorians in this same period showed promise of winning the Mongol Empire to the faith, and the Eastern Orthodox church made great advances in winning Russia to Christianity.
Iv. The Second Recession (1350-1500 A. D.)

The dark ages imrediately preceding the Reformation brought a period of decline to Christian missions not only in Roman Catholicism, but also in Eastern Orthodoxy and Asian Nestdianism. The decline and corruption of the papacy weakened Catholicism at its heart; the rise of
the Turks and the fall of Constantinople seemed almost fatal to Eastern Orthodoxy and reversed the momentum of expansion from the forward though misguided pressure of the Crusades to decline and defeat. Even the Mongol Empire, never won by the Nestorian but always friendly, fell and Nestorianism virtually vanished with it.
V. Advance in the Reformation and Counter-Reformation (1500-1700 A.D.)

Though the Reformation Protestants achieved little in the way of geographic expansion in this period, they laid the spiritual foundations of the great Protestant achievements of the neat period. Most of the expansion in the period was louden Catholic missionary movement into Asia and the Americas, taking advantage of Spanish and Portuguese leadership, Jesuit zug in the Age of Discovery. Protestants to a lesser extent followed the Dutch into southern and southeast Asia, and the British into North America.

## VI. The Pause (1700-1300)

Political and intellectual revolution checked the spread of Christianity in the iSth century. The fail of Spain and the interdiction of the the Jesuits, as well as the french Revolution all combined to check the zeal and efioutiveness of Roman Catholicism for outreach. The rise $\operatorname{cof}$ of rationalism in the somalled Age of inlightemment dulled the edge of Protestant enthusiasin Hor mission.
VII. The Great Century (2800-1914)

The rioderm missionary movement, which begins roughly in troxdre the last decade of the lith century with william Carey, ushered in what Latourette calls "the great century" of Christian expansion. "The outpouring of missionary life," he says, "was amazing". "Never before in a period of equal length had Christianity or any other religion penetrated for the first tine as large an area as it had in the nineteenth century." (Latourette, vol. $V_{0} p_{0} 468 \mathrm{f}_{0}$ ) Three of his seven volumes of missions history are devoted to the 19 th century, and he concludes, "lever had the faith won adherents among so many peoples and in so many countries. lever had it exerted so wide an influence upon the human race. Measured by geographic eatant and the effect upon mankind as a whole, the nineteenth century was the greatest century thus far in the history of Christianity." (Vol. VI, p. 442).
VIII. Advance through Storm (191401945)

Beginning with World lar $I$, the Christian faith suffered a series of world-shaking shocks that night well have been expected to bring in another period of recession, but in his final volume Latorretted assesses the period from 1914 to 1945 as a period of lessening advance, but advance nevertheless. He sees hope in signs of a possible shift from a narrowbased liestem Christian mission to a world based world mission. In this period the percentage of non-Westerners in the Christian church doubled.
[IX. Tho 25 Unbelievable rears (2045-1070) Rising Tide me ind Wind (1945-2000), Dr. Ralph Winter of Fuller Theological Seminary has added a sequel to Latourettels chart of Christian expansion, and closes this chronological survey on a note of rising hope. The Christian church is


 latourettis 3 criterin in "assenmp the expounin $q$ the miflence 1 gesus".
CHURCH (1) Numerical and geogrephic: growth + spread;- its nistitutumalizup.
Kingisom

 - dureit (slomen), manert (choouse in And inom).

Latourette's "prods" dunded by tides y ebb a flow; advarce + recosion.
Walls, tarmp latonettes thend conterion - the shapne 1 cunilizations, $n$ rathe "penethatomp and bemp shajed by cuitues" (p.3)), suppenti a onffeent chonolosy a perodizetivi: -
I. The Jeussh Phase (30-70 AO). Chuituinty as a Geinis mont-not chapup then relyion, bat penctiatmy gumin cuttive Aels 21:20"When Parl tres to tell perpe in feuradem $f$ the excatuy develop mento on 'the musion fueld', he n pointsty bat formely put in his Heee - lork at the thrmands, gius who hane become behowen -d all y them zealms in the Law.
II. The Ltellenistic. Roman Phase (70-500 AD)

in Chuntumin hisiong": the leap fum geruin ints westem cueture.
fininh precticis-low, Tempk, Sabbuth - kused ooes.

 "Sumind"

New cinilizehim - the Churtounstate, the cincept qorthidory $y$, the is sin $q$ the Chch
 "The une Chutivanth loned like the Romen Empue at prapes, the more diffinet it was Off Persian, acom the bentent to emtura.." (p.39)

Astorisinugh, when Rome fell, Chutiventy did int collapse. It had penetroted, formed, but had not deawti hed useff inte Roman cinlization.

Rearon - the new compuerse, with a less rearized selpain, maned as while communtess, not as indinduals, unts the new fouth ('showh, paimfily") - few mactirs, gradual confority $t^{\prime \prime}$ a new unded view qte uneld; acd a new suse y cormon bolmping which for tremsended the Idd kimsinp bands" - p.90.
IV. The Westem Thase (c. 1100-1600).

The chuition center of granty ches the west Islam displeces it in east is the new $w$ Asrem cinlization. Churtendom" becomes compacted, latumzed and Romanized on the Wost.

A seend stupe- the Repronetion-dompts that unity ints huth + sunth Wert.
 cunricion.
V. The Phase of Expeonding Envite (c. $1500-1920$ ).

Expousion y colonialism - Chuntianty int y Enive acoos the wold.

V1. The Suthem Phase (Smce 1920). [The Third-unld Phase]
 and fann Hellematic-Rman $\hbar$ barberman (II $\ddagger$ III).

nation: - 1980 . Weat (irmed) 35 contran Led 382 A uneds $X_{n s}$ ( 547 m

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Comment }(2=1) 30 \% \tag{2.5~m}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \text { wald }\left(3^{21}\right) 158 \quad \cdots 4420 \tag{63/m}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Wael Xn Eni-p4, table 2.
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# INTRODUCTION TO MISSIOLOAY 

Samuel H. lioffett

> I. Introcuotion: A úhronology of Hissions

Let me begin this course on Missiology, the soienco of missions, with an introductory outline of the history of miesions to give you somo hiatorical hooks in ohronological sequenow on which y:u may hang the mass of facts and theories on which the selence of missions is based. The classic outline of nissions history is that given by Prof. Kenneth Scott Latourette of Yale in his nassive, seveno volume History of the Exoansion of Christianity. It divides the histofy of missions into elfht major periods from the time of the apostles down to the end of morld war. II in 1945.
I. The First Advance ( 1 - 500 A. D.)
"The first great ceographic triumph of Christlanity," writes Dr. Latourette, "was the wining of the cultural aiea into which it was born, the lfediterranean world ${ }^{\text {H }}$ of thedoman Enpire. It sub-divides into two sectionss
A. 1-313 A. D. The winning of Freedom for the Faith. B. 31.3529 A. D. The Completion of the Conversion of the Enpire.
II. The Great Regesston (500-950 A.D.)

Although in this period there were great missionary successes. notably the extension of the faith in Westem and Northem Europe foom England to Scandinavia, and the remarkable missions of the Nestorians across Asia as far as China, nevertheless two deaislve faotors made it a period of net loss for the faith rather than gain. These tro were the fall of the Roman Enpire, and the rise and spread of Islan. The number of people in furope that entered the ohuroh between the years 500 and 1000 (some would say 1500), was equalled by the number lost to Christienity in Africa and Asia during the samo period. (Freitag, 20th C. Atlas of Christian vorld, p. 60)
III. The Seoond Advance ( $950-1350$ A. D.)

The tenth century saw a devival of Roman Gatholic zeal and missionary outreach, partioularly through the reforms and disciplines of the monastic movenent. Tho Nestorians in this samo period showed promise of winning the Mongol Empire to the falth, and the Eastern Orthodox church made great advances in winning Russia to Christianity.
Iv. The Second Recession ( $1350-1500$ A. D.)

The dark ages imnediat aly preceding the Refomation brought a period of deoline to Christian missions not only in Roman Catholioism, but also in Eastern Orthodoxy and Asian Nesboianism. The deoline and comuption of the papaoy weakened latholicism at its heart; the rise of
I. The First Advance. (1-500 A.D.) Christianity Wins the Roman Empire.
"The first great geographic triumph of Christienity," writes Dr. Iatourette (Expansion $I$, p. 66), "was the winning of the cultural area into hich it was born, the Mediterranean morld" of the Roman Empire. It took five centuries, a period which may be roughly dated from 1 to 500 A.D. Latourette surrests that a more precise date for the end of the period might be 529 A.D., the year in which the Emperor Justinian I closed the ancient schools of philosophy at Athens, an act symbolic of the end of public acceptance of Christianity's greatest intellectual rival, Greek philosophy.

If it took 500 years for Christianity to win the Mediterranean world, it should not be discouraging to modern Asian Protestants that after only two hundred years of the modern missionary movement, Asia is still the least Christian of continents. Thus history teaches that continental mission strategists must plan in centuries, not merely in years or in decades.

This first great period of Christian expansion, from 1 to $500 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{D}$. is divided into two stages at the year 313 A.I., the date of the Emperor Constantine's famous Edict of Toleration of Christianity, as follows:
A. 1-313 A.D. The winning of rreedom for the baith B. 313-529 A.D. The Completion of Conversion of the Empire.

Converts
A. Christianity Nixgxthe Heart of the Roman Empire and Wins

The first three hundred years of Christian expansion may be further subdivided into three sections:

> 1. The Jerish period. (to 100 A.D.
> 2. The Greek period. (100 to 200 A.D.)
> 3. The Latin period. (200 to 300 A.D.)

1. The Jewish period (1-100 A.D.). The first generation
after Jesus: the Apostolic Age.
The first circle of expansion of the Christian faith centered in Jerusalem and wes principally among Jews, or at
 as a separatist movement from Judaism. The main preaching points of the first missionaries were the Jewish synagogues. But beginning with Stephen and Peul the new faith soon xtrexsex Faxxmxhaxex began to emphasize differences from traditional Judaism, attracting notice as more than another Judaic school, in fact, a new gospel larger and more universal than nationalistic Judaism. Its first martyr was Stephen, who preached that Jesus would "change the customs" which the Jews had received from Moses. (Acts 5:14). Its greatest missionary was Paul, who at Athens, for exemple, spoke not to Jews in the synagogue, but to the Greek philosophers on Mars Hill. His Epistle to the Romans, non usually reed as a repository of systeratic theology, is actually the first book on a theology
of missions, reminding Jews of God's larger purposes, meanly salvation of the Gentiles, and reminding Gentiles of their


 his day. It began in Antioch which was probably the first large city of that ancient world to become a Christian city. From here he set out to evangelize the great strategic centers of Empire, the cities, where Roman government, Greek culture and Jewish trade and religion met. Thattigjeh dicime become the radiating centers of evangelistic outreach. A famous book by an Anglican missionary to China, Roland Allen's Missionary Methods: St. Paul's or Ours?, was published in 1912 to challenge the modern missionary movement to return to Paul's Eiblical strategy of mission, for the twentheth century, like the first, has become again a civilization of great cities. (See Christianity Toga, Aug. 1, 1960, pp. 5, 13 f.$)$ Allen wrote, "In a little less than ten years St. Paul established the Church in fo ir provinces of the Empire, Galatia, Macedonia, Achaia and Asia. Before 47 A.D. there were no churches in the se provinces; in $57 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{L}$. St. Paul could speak as if his "work there ere done.." The secret Was his skillful choice of strategic centers: Ephesus in Asia, Philippi in Macedonia, Corinth in Greece, and Rome. What are the key cities in today's world?

Other important factors in Paul's missionary strategy were: 1. Reliance on the holy Spirit, not on the cooperation of governments; 2. Reliance on local selfsupport, not on foreign money; and 3. Reliance on voluntary evangelism by Christians, not by paid, professional missionaries or ministers.

Traditurne. Mesh is Rexcundie. Thaddrems to Armeme. Themes to Inches 2. The Greek Period (100-200 A.D.)

After about $100 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{D}$. the center of the Christian mission followed the lead of the Apostle Paul and shifted from the Jewish to the Greek world. The hope of converting the Jews es a nation faded. Christianity lost its identification with the Jews, and in so doing became exposed to persecution, for only the Jews had been granted the right of exception from worship of the Emperor. But despite perseculion, the faith spread rapidly, particularly in the cities qum. It was becoming an urban, Hellenistic phenomenon. By 180 A.D. the records show the Christianity had penetrated all the provinces of the Roman Empire, and had even bour to move across the borders of Rome into Asian Mesopotamia. (Latourette, ibid, p. 85) him mum pard

Ten MuAt Popunos Wuben Creas


- United Natuin, Pattems \& Unban + Ruval Populetian fruith NY: Unitey Naturis, 1980.

Farly Hununaies - Eusebius iii 37.
"Very mam of the drsailes of that ape (prupis q the apuntles), whose heant; had been raveyed by the dmine Wind inth a bunning love in philosoping (iee asceticusm) had first Intilied the command $q$ the Sarion and dinded theri goods ammy the weedy. Then they aut out in lonp binneep, pesforming the thic of evampelits, eaperty striving ito preach Chint to thre who had never (yet) Lend the und o feuth, and $t$ delmir $T_{0}$ thim the holy gropls. In freion lands then sumily land the indetions $q$ the ferith. That done, they appointed othess as shepherds, entrustuny them with the care $q$ new ginth, whle they themselues proceeded with the prace and corpuation, $\operatorname{tord}$ t other comentris and to other pegles
(c. 325 AD) uniten,

The 5 chasectenitio.

1. Preety - "dindel theri soods ammy the neidy."
2. Goung ant - leaving inne on luy founerp.

3 Frompelizing - "speaking the und 9 Gid by mont ad day is the Delicile punt, it (Hannech - p.334).
4. Quw them the Scuptres "the wily suppels"
5. No setthinp drom - a. plaut the chch "|rudatimn"
b appinit lexdas ("shophed"
c. Go in ti the uneeched.

Thent on r9 (A)
 Europe in the remote island of Britain. Ats character had monad h medicate top also changed. By about $250 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{D}$. the Roman clergy had become predominantly Latin. In earlier periods the New Testament, for example, was written in Greek, and in the East as the Eastern Empire grew up around Constantinople,


 remerberea. Both are -
2. 然. Gregory the Illuminator, the Apostle of Armenia About 300 A.D. Armenia became the first sizeable country in the world to become Christian. Gregory was of the Armenian nobility, related to the royal fierily. When Armenia was captured for a time by Persia, he fled into Roman territory where he was convented. When his country was liberated, he returned and was asked to help restore the national religion of the goddess Anahit, which had been proscribed by the Zoroastrian Persians. But Gregory, how a Christian refused, and was imprisoned and tortured. His courage under torture and his unceasing witness finally converted the King, Tiridates and the conversion of the country quickly followed. In one dey, it is said, 150,00 of the king's troops, clothed in white robes, were baptized in the waters of the Euphrates River. (I.C. Barnes, 2000 Years of Missions Before Carey, p. 79 f.) Bishop Veil points out two significant strategic factors in the conversion of Armenia: 1. It is the "first clear case..in which the conversion of a king was the first step in the conversion of a whole country". (Abgar of Osrhoene is semi-legendary). 2. It was a thoroughly indigenous movement: Gregory preached in Armenian; in 406 the patriarch Mersob invented a new alphabet for the fenian language and the New Testament translation into it was completed by 410. Race, language, culture, politics and the Christian religion became unseparably Armenian, giving the Whole people an identity that not even the loss of their homeland has been able to take from them. The Armenian church survives as one of the most ancient in the world. Mimgad privet that undid needed


1. Gregory the Nonder-fooker (Theanaturgusl (b. 828), the Amman! Apostle of Pontus, along the Southern shore of the Black Sea. Converted by the great theologian Origen, he returned to pontus and was made bishop. When he died 30 years later in 270 A.D., it was said that when he became bishop there were only 17 Christians in his diocese; then he died there were only 17 pagans there. (Lat. I, p. 89) Bepminnir y mows national moments

By the time Constantine finally recognized Christianity in 313 A.D. the faith was everywhere in the Empire, but it can hardly be called a mass movement. Probably not more than $15 \%$ of the Empire was Christian. (S. Weill, in Concise Dictionary of the Christian World Mission, "Expansion..", p. 201), which wold

Hagip Nersoyan, A Hotory q the Armensin Perple. (N.Y.: Armensan Ch. f N Americ, 1963

1. Inaditur. Ammime compelized on the apmitle Thaddaues, who thomeled ifte Pentent ts the nunutanis of Aिmenia by fort. The Kimp's dayples, Sanetronc, heers of the wew rely cin and attends a preaching sessice in ctesguse. Cinverted and baptized, she anpers her comel forther who suds a lnobed to "de-propam" Les.

s. "Gord deesn't do fimpe un way. Jesus dhdirt have th dhe; the chare to dee

* M. Why shuid you, a primces, accept a freesi relyim?
s. "What differerce does it make who texchis the trath. as long as it ns the tunt " Kiled - 18.20 - 24.
II. Hnim.

Armeme sides with Rame cyaurt Parthne. Parthe invodes Anwin at bam a brit cente 3C. Cumine gres sepre to Mrac antiry, when Le was deferted by Parthume 36 Be. At bepirsis 4

 mint hove moled Armeine while Muthridetes nas impurinad in Rame (ca. 37-45 AD).-p. 19.

- There followed 250 peans of war al chaos - is Ameme became a betteipd of the 700 -yeer was between Rome A Persin. 218 AD a buitur of the Pontician
 the remit was choos. Almerie's ke, a Pescan, became the sum enong the new mides



Pevien convades Armeme to Gote it oner for staef. Bont the dead Krip's (Chassues) (Tridetes) ercafes, whe the oin $q$ has fathers mondeer inti Romin tissitong. inviets became a. Frid of the Roman Engen Duclition, who prepered thmi to bee Anmenis form Pervis.

Mearwhile the anasmis song Grep, was also prepes a neth \& Ammine In he had become a Chistom. On the way bach to claim bis mudued fathers thanne, Indet met gremp, who had a repuitatimi fre lemmp, add not knoj his aneistry, arbel hin, as a fellow. 'Armenian to go with hmo is secriten, bath t Amome. can the way, ence cicron the bendes, a great Arromin welame was
prepeed in the wow king. Beiper a griel statue o Anahit, the Ammenain grodden, a grat feast was made ready. The king unskiped firitcod in his turn. Seepon was expected to do the same, at Her a wreth a flimes. Greqn was antent, then Are olowly- "I am a
 He wes Hormas int proion, peed to mom in boads y hiuls. A price whafined to the king that prepy was actuett the song his fattiers underen on he adered him thase lito a deep, damp pit $t$ de. Büt ho did not dee. A kidow secrethy thew hin frod In years-ad when the kinp Tindates fell brick of a obtampe illuess (Iycanthropy = be wang whe an anomed, a wisd boin) his soster, heaji of the stringe sant in the pit who and not die-had him bugt ont. The legind, y conve, has a hapry endri He heals the sick kinf; is sut bach into Roman teriton to be adacied a brihip, Ad retumo in a grod camape, drawn by white mules, to buytize the kny. The date wes do3; (sure say 244). ten years bepue Conitantine became Chintom ang to mae the Edict a Milan - the Edict of Toleration. Tindates in therefre pton called the pint chuition king in listong. I in a sume yes at leart the firt Clant kni o a Chustion state which has kept its identity the the centimes." (5ee Nevimar, p. 41).

But bepre we decide thot - we mort lnk Prot firit panse a moment, and ash what lenoms we lean form the Cumtle to Axums - Greps? the Illummata.

Cimpare the stom a Srepy bith Ensebues' descmption I the conlipst inmswaines - the dioiples of the apritles:

1. They-pan. 9. - greda carngige hov white numes.

1- Ther - bain home.
8 E Wert back pund the

be about the same proportion ora little larger as in South Korea today. The current estimate here, 1973, is about 13\%, counting the marginal cults.

In some parts of the Empire, however, notably in
the East, Christians may well have constituted an actual majority of the population by 300 A.D. Estimates, of course, vary widely. In Rome, for example, Latourette estimates there ere 30,000 Christians by $250 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{D} .$, based on deductions from a passace in Eusebius ( Lat. I, p. 95, citing Eus. J.vi. c. 43); but Gibbon, the historian of the decline of lome, using the same passage, estimated there were 50,000 Christians then in Pore (Gibson, Decline and $\bar{F}=11$ of the Roman Empire, $p .542$, chap. XV). As for the Empire as a whole, Gibbon says not more than a twentieth, or $5 \%$ of the people were Christian, but another historian, Staudin is quoted by Harnack es estimating that fully a half, $50 \%$, of the Roman Empire was Christian by the time of Constantine. Harnack himself, in the classic history of the period, The Expansion of Christianity in the First Three Centuries (tr. J. Moffatt, vol. 2, pl 454) thinks that in the East the Christian population may well have been over $50 \%$, but was considerably less in the west. At the least, he says, even by 250 A D. Christians must have numbered between three and four million, and perhaps much more. Latourette's final estimate of the Christian population of Constantinian Rome is betwen $1 / 20$ th and $1 / 8$ th of the total population, (Ibid, p. 108) which would be between $5 \%$ and $12 \%$.

(2) At chectuintic numin shodew: Ansten
(3) it men ity cot humen.

"Oyanizy fo Mincom"
When Withan Carey in al792 preched to hos fellew misister
 "Expect great thaps fun God, attempts geat thape" of God" - ins unds so mued the smp thel they resinued कs plan a "Buptst Soe for propupectip the Conel arn the Heelters." Sime preaches unuld have let IT jo at that. Not Cover. At the vey wext merting, in Octiver, he slapped cum on the tathe sme cquis of the masions moanain $y$ the Anarieus, Periodical Accomts, and said "See what these Mnavious have done". That was the challenpe, and the specific concerte example that the ce (1a) 7 19-". century faced and met to become "the preat cention" of innsins.

But Cavey was an unlikely aportle in the tash, and the Punavian example was not quite the oyanizational model
 pist with Cavey, It Recond witt the opanizatinn that developed for misums ant $y$ his challenge.

Am. Ser Ch. Itint
Chicse-Dec. 28,1956 - Explonice Forwerts in Minimen Hutom
Sewottschurder, Dand A., Mravian Theol. Sem. - "Wim. Cavey + the Mrasian Influence"
Hutry y connection a interconuction -
Pount y cintant - neturks of evanpelicals - in the guest Anaknmis proned $\$$ ba
protat impurtance in the mis conem murement.
Carky - deact with 25 different Indion lemponges
Sunney - .
Knime Pand (Carys birit convit)) hed been canpuater bon the Mranians?
by iso Meroarans hal 167 misininaris in sewice.

Spengenbey - "Rebtorn o the Minsmaves.
Caren- all minsmenes lived trectse; unted tofelte <soppini themselves. All wre "tent-mahers"


Whain Carey. To neview brifly what yo absealy may know fum CH O2, Witham Carey in 1792 was a 31 -yen-dd pent-tinc. shremaches, pent-tme schne-teader, and week-end Buptat peacher in bicests who not lone bepre (i7s5) hed flemhed his ondmation exans - and Baptest ondmation crans are not all that hard. It tole him two une years $t$ pass. He know latin, peek, Hehow, Founch al Dutch, but what the Baptanlic wre intrested in was his preechni - and that jist wasit up $\$$ Baptots standards


 modern misinian monemat.

But sermuns fade in the mernmy as enotinis subince.
 In the inspeation of the Gorpel amine the Heathen" that gave the monement its strinctual fiter in an enduning unh.

Note that it was celled "The Paticucin Baptas Socitity"wit the "Buptest Sinety". Cinen conld not even get all the Bugtist ta suypnet the unch. The inflenenticel Baptast ity pestors in Indon were

Skeptial of the rash priparal firm a small gromp y cormtery
 preachers. They gave him to suppot, fulike the eantee unk $q$ y these

to be suppeted is a while denmunition.
All Mravianas
were spantaneomshy and actively mwitued m musions. Botot wen a a arey could get all the Baptist $I$ be interested all in me thmp, all at ine twie. So his musumen socicty had to be a "volumtary" society, unt a "chuch" society - a society pen th the supprot of all who were interosted and concerned about munoms, not a socity where suppnt was leqsilated and mandeted by the chunch whether it momber were intersted an not. in called "a podality" in the minsuapical termmiloyy-z soundopicel ape. Let we explain.

In the tecthnical massuricical lempure 7 m suphinticated
oce suct a voluntany coccity is called a "sidality". A chusch missin is called a "modahit". Let me oxplain, and call T you attentri a small but sulijhtaning bervect in munion oyanizatial stunctines salled -

The Warp and the Worf: Qpanizing in Missin לy
Ralph D. Winter and R. Perce Beaver (Pasedere: Wm. Cary 1in., 1970).
It points ont the two larids of sthuctines that hold the Chistain monement - in on case the Chistain unsomany moement-tape thas, much as the wasp and the uort of a weaving-one linpitudial, the thes the lateral threeding - hild the fabic topether.

In sucial structumes like the chuch, thas tion knids of (chush) (whintan) structures are called by anthippnogists - modalites and sodalites.
(1) A sodality, in a voluntary socity, fromed witem a layeer community in a mre sjeifici, froussed task them the lever community may be ready to attempt. A sodalty is a whiesme resprose to dineise funchom and responsibilite inith the lapeer community. if nit everyme in the community is ready in a preie a unke that needs to be diw-form a sodalitit 2 do it.
(2) A modality in the othe hard is a lomeer natuad grmpiptue a nativi, in a tabe, $n$, wothum the chintion nomemant dielf, a chuch.

To me sime examples -
A srdalty uneld be a Menis Bible clas, whutaily fromed in a speipi puppere withen the lenper modalter. the cluch.
 If a sqeinic parpme ustinn the lenper modalify, the semman community. Qs, in Pimeiton timn, the sidelity mpit be the Retam Club, formed


In minsims hising, the sodality is the minumany society formed wintim the deunniation noluch In a sfecifici pupne-musion.

The sodalits empasizes diversity of functions. The midality represents the onevess and the whileness $f$ the human, n the chintion commuinty. What sime pastins, fuch ant \& semman, prect is

 so
modal and sodal is not alwayp clean - on yon preper len tectrinal distuctinis, I inll usually simily call the smatles, freer, mone milefundent sicictes not sodalites on interdenminatinad $\quad$ int uif alwaen
 from sweral differint chuch modes a denmmatino. And the minion societies that are les dwesie, as une cluch-centered and contrilled I will call, not modalites (Whid they are), but sumply chch mision societer.

In thes sunce, a papal mision unild be
(a chech minmin)
a modelitini whereas a monastic mision no misumain ader like (a volunton soicts in mumen).
the fesinits unod be a sodality $n$ The Chnie Inled Ainsin unuld be a sodality, a voluntary society; the Presinterien Proparm Ageney is a morbahty, a Chich Ageney.

In misins listiny - modalites, a chch musims, unuld be Pope freoris minsin to Englad; Cyil and rothotua's muin th the Slavs (fuit if the Paganture paticiein, then for the Roman Plee); and the Moravian musion. Sodalities unuld unclude Paul's unsin to the fent les (which stacted from Antoch, not Genssbem); Celtic monastic misions (which were udefendent of the hoshpi): and even the gesmins who, this obedint is the pree, were indefondint $q$ locel bshops; [also phthe Dawih- Hathe
 Pontestant misinis, which intersintily eungh stacted in the cluch-misin prottem in th the Mravious, at the bequin $f$ the $19^{\text {n }}$ coutum thone ant $y$ the clunch-muisim pattem witt Coney, and in most $q$ it, firit so year eftes 1792 was dominated is the whentary so ciecty prottem.

Ciney an the Particilon Baptest Sraity of the Proppation of the loniel.. is inly the fint exargle $q$ such a sodaltity a voluntary socity. Remenber, it did not repesent all the Particulen Baptast. It, in the was sonn frimed by the npainzation $y$ a laye number q Someshat similas volintary societies or musum. I will hit inly the mort inpmitant:
(1) The Panticilen Baptest Sovith
... Bytan 1792
(8) The lindon Mnsing forety
(3) The chl Misin Socuty
... imbeinchut. Creo 1795
Ayhcom 1799 (n 97)

(b) The Basel Musim Sum, intuel. 1815.
(1) The Panticmen Bostat socict of Proparating the Consel Ammp the Heathon, freded by Withim Carey, som wisely shurtured its hame to the Buptrit Minsmain Soinety. funt in the frit ane year $q$ the misum in Bened it sut inly ore additional mismaji is join
 By 1816, the fommos Indis the a Caves, Marihmon of Ward become so resenthel $q$ the Baptit Musmany Sivietis attempfs to contait and dictate then unk in Seranpre fom Indm that they usvid a declecation of midifendence and separeted then Serampme nusim in phom its hoo bradd. (E.Danil Pots, Butid Beytat Mmminus in Inche 1793-1837. Ip.24H. Combitue: V. Ther, 1967).

 - Adounan Judson disappomatidel upon a nist - "Ichebed- The glom has depantel" (p.26). thth the socict contrinied al did resfectable misinieg unk in 20 years a une in Inda, Ceylon $-x$ Chne

But of it had dine untting eloe, it had made two impentant contrintersis of which it will wever be freotten.

It sent Coney to India - ine $q$ the greatest unsimaines of all ture. At lns request - on lis timbotine the inly urds mscribed becides hos name $d$ dates were there:
"A mitched, proi ed heeples unm, On Thy kind arms I foell."
That "weitine pon s heplen unom"
 eancatrs - most bn collees, unverithes as semnaines setl gine then deqrees in the name 7 the cillepe he froded, Serampme. He was on evapelit, e contextralist an ecumenist.

The Serampre Frim, Apreement, which the Trin chow iy
 Chacy. Musty 1423 me. 105.26).

1. The limmon sonl is \& miestunable value, and is in mutal dompes $g$ eternal pumbinit but Chint can some them.
2. We nunt gain all the kuobedpe we can fnimdiom und o the Inchan relyams.

3 We imist nof offend Enchan Seusiblities by vaintrin mn Enfish ways and attacking theins. "The real comprests of the gropel are thre g lime.
4. We mot "watch all appontunites a doing sond"- preachay, itmeratup, tathon t all who nill listen.
5. The "prect subjeit of on preaching" unt be "Chint the Smaihed".
6. We munt do eventting, necessang is wim the compidina $y$ the puple. "All force and eventhing hayity" we munt "shom with the geatert case". [native leadus + in fermale clloperes], if
7. We nust remember the impontance Is biildiny up the Chit encison lives 7 am connects We shomed tm to fid them emplonment, mitil in them gord unk habits, and tecch them rejpect in gromment outtinity, as we comides these $\hbar$ be in dintres also.

- We unst value the unk y on female collespers in the Insion on thein impritant uns in ith women.
(8)

We must in all proible wap pronste the devehiat? Inchin lecdenshup of the promation 7 Indion chuchas led by Inchan pastors, "as much as poritle withont the miterference If the misimany." These will be the instmment in spruedinj the gropl "क the extrenities i Indre. a borly 7 native masimaies, inmed to the chimate, acquainted inth the instoms, lonpuape, modes of yeach -1 reasonnu of the inhabitionts; able $\hbar$ become perfectty famibai in th them, to enter thin homes, to live upon thin ford, is sleep ist them, a under a tree; and who man thanel hom me end q the connty to the other almot withont any expense." (p.122).
Wo do not thik it necessay chye then names - ever thoth almost all are named after hea then grols.
(4) We mut "lebons with all m miont in fruworduy tanslatoms of the sccied scuptines ${ }^{n}$. the lomprapes of Hundorstan; ch murt establich We pree schols. "Sime parts o numimay lahms wen properely tind to the presut conversion $q$ the Lexthen, and Sthess to the ushering in of the glonoms feviod when a nation, shall be boun an a day. I the lattes are the native bee schools.
(10) We nunt rumember that to be Lat in thex "unatteribly umprotant labims "we mast be "nistant in praine of the cuefivetion y persual relyain." ". lat us gten lork at Pramied $n$ the wovds 7 Amence, pronj ant in ven sinl bepre fod In the perining haethen.
"To keep there deris chive in on mids, we resine that thas aqreement shall be read pubsich


(1) The London Misuman Society

To the eredit of the Bupht Musinam Society,
and in the lonp wun $q$ even une lasting sigmficance them the nise and unk wilham Cave was the leust $q$ a whivestrinp 7 similas volintang unsion socictes which patterned themcelves after the nearizational style ad adopted the same giols q Carey's pomiering society. Nore q there can claim a mne llustrious histay than the L.M.S. (Iondm Misunan Soicity)
 The Bupthit Society produced a Cmey-bit the vorter o names of musimaries wo semed under the L.M.S. reads line a Who's who a the great centing y unsim: Ofon Willuins, the apprte to the Suth Sees; livingstre of Apria, -1



The LMS, milik the enhers Pirith + Suntin in sorictes - the SPK (1701) a the SPCK (1709), was neithes Aychican mn Pesebaterion, un was it like them

umesched uned for it field, and was completety intes doummotionil "The fecty iffereices o names and formos ammp us: "Said andprecher, Hat one $q$ its founding meetupe," and the differices y chuch gonemment mut be swallwed up tortan in the preater, nobles, mere sipnificant name Chistans, and in only endeavor shall be, not to farthen the vicurs q any me particilon sect, $\sin \varphi$ Chint s not dinded, bent with unted effret to make kurnn afon the majesty q His Persm, the compliteress 7 His unk. the unders $q$ His grace, and the exceedmp blessing of His redemption." (Warneck, Hirt. 中 Thi Munims, ts. NY: Rewel, 1903, P. 88).

It could be called, I supprese, the bepinnup it ecriminical musion, in Protestantisni, ard stentos as a returke to some 7 in presut musinain - ecclesuastical dunssin. It also, alas, stands as a remuder of the prapitt of ecurnencal corpretion in musim. Startuif unt as a unted efrot by indefendents, Tresintenain, Methodiste of Anglicans - it byad lofy it ocnmemity. Fist the Auphcain withdrew bepmin in 1797; then the ofters une pradually - untel t became almat exclusively Enplish Conpregetinal.
( 30 misimaines, 6 mives, 3 chidines)
Takmi their ine from the Manaim ideal 7 "tent making husion", the fint





Sितt in the early dapp it sent ant sime misumany.
graits furn all the peat tractions.
Its prit minion was के the
Sunti Paupi $\&$ Tabiti -2 Timpa in $1797^{*}$ ahnat the in 1815, and the arnind, in 1817
20 yn. later - the conversion of $n$ King, Pomare II.
o $q$ a suide-mided wapplist, Gfom W lhami,
Qhm withain, an Inderendent

mocmentrivel waps and feice parsion in spreadiey the grod news to
who pronded the aneepy t the method In the cinnessin of the islass slainds where it had never been preached, thedismered that
the best musimaines were the slainders themselues, Tmpams, Samoans, it was mue tham an othen sijlefoctn, that,
Fipoins. And, that discuery, has made of the islands 7 the Sonth Pacific the unst connghy wapelized majis pection of the cupter $y$ the gloine - shaides tettmy Aten isloindens abrut Corns Chint.

The great provier th Chine was aurither form the

 early tecictens called hin a dunce. They probably did noi live Iry engh to ree himescelected a fellow of the Ronal Societ one the empies most mestucus learned soccetios in tan prodjeins schlerly acherements - Hti
trouslation $q$ the entre Bible nit the Chmise lompnape of the publication of the fint loupe Chmese-Engisis chcturnaing. He had gme K China m the firt place becanse he had paneed that ciod umed send him \& "that pent of the musumang fild where the difficieties were the prestest and, to all human appearances, the mont insunmatable". He had also heard fum the then newty-foned Bution If Frein Bible Socicty of the need In Bille trauslation int the lompnope of the reatest umber $\frac{7}{}$ unn. Chustuans in the unld - Yoo nillim sines 7 the Chmise people. Pant the Cluncere at that bane were prividen mden fenatity q death to keach theis lompnge $t$ freernus. The tast was impmible but as he had ance reghied to the cuptemin o the ship thet bught has to Clmie - not imponible 5 Gord. It was seven year bepre he baptizigd his font conoent. In his fuit 25 year, he od his collegase were

 tham he even dearmed proithe. He chied in Chinie in 1834, sayny that it muit tote a lunded year to win a thanand Chmese. But as f. Frotes has prouted int, in 193y, (the Huched years lates of whin Homens spplu,)



Robert Miffat (1795-1883), y Cinuston ('26 mi, mu the hill pron Ecminmph).
10 chicher. Mary, the ridet manned Dand Livmpstime in 1845.



"creator of a pattem 4 misums wivish hos been sinied all tith Atrice fuled as an ethropephen + gapupher" - saw such as "sember enthenscrime. obsewer and recenday pumitri lipe
linginst al truesbeta -
won the intmisite fuendship of the fricest Aprican chap y his Tmix: Mzilikasi of the Matabele.
'troght the beikt in the fuedrm f the 'road to the noth' againot tuck-buers.
who, but In the pheserve of 'M Ifat and $C_{0}$ ' in theri westem flanks mipht well haus estahinhed thin authinty in the Rhodisiso" p.".
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Rebent Moftat (1795-1883)

Robeat Miffat, burm in 1795 in the inlope ofinutinn "26 miles aven the hicls from Edimbuysh, the sm 7 an $\operatorname{ex}$-plouphman who thiroygh miduating and a surck mind rose to a customs office ("Selt othices") at a Seithan fnt
 This gmes we the Chouce fir a gain ling and crielevant frotinite on the Moffats, a oukject which is In some reason dear to my heart. We are all descended - in spite oq the si different wap o spellinp the name - Litte Mis Muptet, In example - from a west Saittand arcester - the Brship q Glasgow, in 1296 Nichotas de MAHat, and ansthes Moffat who "joined the tram. 7 Edwail $\frac{1}{}$ Endland and Lions of Fance in the fornth Emsade, also in the 1290 s. The clan's Lime is smith 1 Glasgon, in the torn of MAlAt.

His mitter - who unitet a sternmen 7 relyinis behif bndermy on glomp vindictmenin, with me of the tenderest and most Irvim hearts that wer best" (Northatt P. 17) raised hor 7 children on the Buble and strinis the Moravian promers, breand an at afe 15 yrmy Ribut ram of ta sea. te dubirt the it - and came lome decidimg not to be a seuln, but inth a realization thot the muld wos layes than the isloye A Arnustion, layas even thion his Scotloud. At iy he houd ant as a condever's apmentice and lythme
 mother he unold read a chaptes of the Bable cvery momisp and everp evening of the nost $q$ his life. He jomed a Bubly studn gmop q Wesley an Methodasts who wounted him th be sure he was, comented, and that prazzed ham. He didn't feel the i, and undered if that was because he hadn't sumned emmeh
to be converted from ampthing. He ever thinght he inght tor $t$ bemy nome of a Annier, so that repentinp he comld "be so semsible q the champe
 It was ho Bitbe readiny bithet fanally renned the dinbts.
"One evenum, proning wer the Epuntle $t$ the R nomens, I could not help underinp oner a number of prosseses which I had reed mony times bepre. They appeand altopether different. I exclamed, int a heant neorily burken: "Can it be that I have never undenstoded what I have been readnip?' De Brikg Grod.. Seemed ti be land pee and I sow what god had dire In the sunnes... Ifeet that, beng jonstineil by forth, I had peoe with Ged thigh the Ind fams Chit.". (ind, pp/3-15

It was a Compegational Anmistas in Manchester who tored
 under an interdenometinal, voluntany mision sirist, Wm. Ro ohn whas a drietn $y$ the Indon Misuman Soc. And while teaching ymp Molfat Colvinit

 him the LMS. The reply came bach - rejection:
"at present [hene'] Lane so many applieitions [ine] cannot receive all who Mer theis sescrics in masimaing unk, and are thesefre oblyed to select three who proses the wunt promising acquirements. Qen this accont [we] are under the necentit
q declimin you offer at pesent.".
Wum. Rom uneed Maltat to stay and stater the ihey with hum, and Hered to lons of nearing emplaywent in Millat. Providentailly - when all durs even In gandeninp seomed chred - a jorb ther in a unssem gerden

Dee. 1818 - Santee relent
Sect. 1819 - Man sidles chins -

came his way. A games smith, at font hesitant \$ $t$ employ M Afar because g tho thess
 he had a daughter, May, "the pride y hos heard" - decided to risk it -
"We are safe in the present", he thant, "In the musumin sinter has reposed him." (Shed Dimils Husband, p. 39). Bat hus daughter had other ideas - as it turned ont. She had been educated on a Moravian Schorl, at had been praxis that God wold sine day send her int as a misimany.

They fell in Ire. Then a letter came Io the LMS - They could no accept him - but he unit be ready oo sail within a fie montes. The two yon people heistantty ashed the Sintins parmusion - and they point-blank reposed. And the tin aponzed - int accepted Remelts call as Gro's call $=$ and in Qulitian 1816 he sailed alone. ms. Harbbend pants some lines 7 Bobbie Berms at the Lead A This chapter in the lye 1 Banes Matt + May Smith - She imponises him Hushing of hame there lines o Buns - as he sailsTil a' the seas gamy din, my dian And the nocks melt wi" the Sum! And I will lone thee still, my dean, while the sands 生 $0^{\prime}$ lye shall mum.

And fare thee weed, my only I me
And tare thee wal awhile!
And I will cove spain, my line, Tho it were ten thunsand mile!"

Rimeit Moffat - "The paticarch y S. Arican miscins"- Rotit Tucken.
"Ownshedoned by hos ste-m-low limpstore, bent "the "ireeter numsmein g the two."

7 Whish Africans.
 bumberens detraped the Dutch mimp slation - month, um on the

 Nothcitt," Myfet of Apriones be came frido in that slow, gentte way
 m the otetiven $q$ the evennip played el smpa hyman', Afrehores lhied $t_{0}$ sit: watch him, 4 unuld yen suced the day in the shakeo is a
 sinule.
M. Nan Deer cutinzed fo tead; in Donton - unt trinit leges. Tme hat whe he decibed he hel been umy- in 1827, he eift hostanien went ont wik the bush with some trikesven for 11 wisk to leen the lere ad equent the next 24 ym . Ahwlyd panflly to th, the Bible (bp. nith hule) int the Bechacone ligere.

Ton years after Mronim leakd in Chune, the Lindon
Misconary Socity sent aunther Presbyterian, a SCA, as ite proveer inland to Sinth Aprice, Robent Mifat. Six mintt ifter his arrival he was suspricursity grestuned by a sfecial and meputienly called sypard. Misimaines of the Enpichs were reparded as damperms rachicils evers amia me $q$ them, a few years earhis had priblich donomad smae Boer formes In then treatment of the Hotentots (Nithast, R.M Moft, p. 28) Now the sypurd grestinied bith the racical offender, of the latest cassivabad gructif mod to the sore pount - the quertion of misinanis ad claves. Mittat's answen was shat ad dreict: "A law sholle be made by you society declasing them pree".

Molat puned $t$ be monneable adl his years in his cindemnetion of slavery - emaped the Boer commuinty by equatiop Theis Afucàn appentice syptem ints practical slaver. He was puit as forthingur in condemens the Pritith gromenent fr allowny A. (Nnthait, p. $20 y \mathrm{~F}$.) "The anti-slave, pro-naturi tradution he had intubed as a yony man from a Thesogy [atarn Celvinnom warwed by a Wesleyan nevival] which Sour all [puple] as Gord's chuldien, flowered, "usites has bopephen," "ito a lije
 lyt Pnnce ajte 50 yeens becane Pe Satshand suiphy "I am an African", so complelely had he identifel
mith the puife Imed.
(3) The Chich Munininay Soicety (1799).

Two years athes the funation of the Indm Innoing Soriety, as I menturid, the Aughcian bepon I withdraw. It was the pantatival pull 7 theis oun domminat Enfinsh Cushcainsm that led $\$$ thes mone, not a sleckeaning of mosimany concein. Mariming concenn had led them, as nembers, the evapetial ung of the Apticin communion to foin the undefendent musimany Rocity, and cintiming misinain concenn combined with lepalty to then omn communion that now led them back int Anglicanion to meothe musomang he and eneyp wits the chich $f$ vinld thth the frometion $y$ an modefendent Angicain minsim socisty, which they called the Chuch Mrisimm Socaity,

In thas Socicty they gome opanizel form \&o then tur proman minonain prinaples:
(1) That unssion is mavily the tante $q$ the leity.
(2) That voluntan, ungffinil associatinis ore essential If the ritalty $g$ the chuch" (J.V. Taphe, in Coumise 2et. 1 to wed Aminin). The poner o thrse 2 singhe pripositins in minimes atrech is pred Ey the facd that in the 180 years $n$ do sece the siciecty was fuded, it has ount out an "anerage so new misimineries every year." (ibid.).

But the iden of the chh Musinintociety traces is much to the pint rygirn musimaines in indre, the Engehial Chaploins, as to the evanctical Ayticain neanizers $f$ the foucty m Eshd.
These Cheplan \& were a remonka ble gomp of men - Deand Brome, Beny Mantin.
it was Dind Purn, whose

 Hhy Trincty chh, a Commidee, trik hir to Indie in 1787 Lis sumans in Calcutta puipsily affected an Ensmith Ann oficeis, Antame Wellesley, who is n so year lates was is meet Naprlex at Wateslos as the Duke 7 Wellmptorn, - hat funn's letter hime were even une sigmicicunt. In they inged the fromation $q$ a chich unsion In Indie.

Heny Marton, also a choaple o Chonles Sumem, came $\hbar$ Indie to acheplai.
 Ryticesing ent him his firicei - Who wors mand to jom him. The recchld

Inche in 1506 witup. "Whether I Ime ondie, let Clint be mesmfred by the mpatioimp of multituces $t$ humself I have man thab awaitng we, (and so have ym, I but that
covenaat q grece (in which me av intrested) pundes In the weakest and secmes on
 earth. Now let we bum ant for God. (Dram, May 17, 1806, in EmpeChotitatr, Hat. 1 the Cl 7 Enghe on inde, londe spece 1924, 15 117).

Martyn had been unable, $t$ cime $t$ Incia in 1806
inder the Chich Minving fricity - tyh that had bean his dream. Not unt il
Thowo inntm,
1815 and that focietys firt durectey monponted unusines, reech in tha - udeidd, the
 the Suat indin Co.

the Cluch Minsunan soicety une than a reard of great 19'2 coutury misinnanes. It omes to the CMS atme all a leadership in musunain statesmanship and musum chatepies that as unch as amythmy else othes than the prace of lind made the $19^{\text {th }}$ canting the preat Centing. Mussuns.

The name to be remembered is Henry lien, whi for une than zo yeas, from 1841-1872 was Chief Secretary of the Chuch Misionaing
 as "the intstanding Enopean musunary leades, thmbier and admunstats of the $19^{\text {2 }}-c$. Hes imsunam vision will alwerp be cernembered by the phrare he (cic the American Anipus Andersm) set fint at almut the Sime time - ore in Enghe, the Ath in Amence - as the gral of Chistian misuns - The aim is i plant undyenns chuches arnd the unld - "self-suppning, Seif-gmermip, self-extending": The 3-Self Monement,

In a uned where unisums coned as yet point h litte une than handluls of elnistain scattered here and there, he called In the developnnt is a plow to mold then into chucher, madeandent y frain aid, hee fun mosion contol, and becomng themselves otreaching musimany communities.

Hes plon called on ton staces.
(1) Wrik furm the botiom up, not flom the top domn-bequng inth conpuextuns, then local cormuils, then a native ministy - ad a suf. eehaint chh.
(2) Wrin of the "inthamasie $f$ the musim". By this he meent "not the end of the freeip unssimary, but wly un end to hos cuntoot $q$ the local chuch (warren, ind).

Todon the than uneld chuches y Asice ad Aprica "necopenze in [Herm Venn] a promier 1 that pattem 1 chich relationshing which then purare thembelues." It annses me to fid Mainline Cline settuy frith the prinalles 1 the 3-Sel-Mnement as a new disionery, a 20ㅡㅡ centang murrätion. Herry Vern ched ni Enplead 110 yeaw 40 - in 1873.

The Banel Missun: (1815)
The preatest of the early Euripan miouno, after the Minarian misisios - Which are in a class by themselves - was a sodality, that is, a volimtary sociect of monowis, not a clunch
 as the Eangelische Minniriggesellscisit in Bawel, at lnit it sint int

 exutence (isic-1955) 2,500 $f$ thre themid thee went the misim hield. The Ansin still has a free 7 anne you minimiases on the held in fine cormatris inche, Ghare, Kalimantan (Borwo), Comuron, thth the treming semmany taof was chad the in 195s, in forn 7 ryulen univesity theming fo all musion candidates. (Conmes Doct it the In Wrel Mumin).
from the bepinning, the Sinity was ecumenical. Thuph basicilly fermien in mym, it made German Sustzerland it poanzzatemal
 became the Cuphican buinip y Pensdem (Seruad (Grat m 18858) - in a curims ecumincal expermient combsimp Andheien and lutiven sppecpates in one ferm. He was cinticized fr proselytons fon the ancient Cossem cluches - Qrttrodoy


Mnophysite ol Nestonan in the Arib Mudill Eart, Lant was defended by the Angfican acchuships when he porinted ont that whin he II-d some q these anceirt churchis so rituahtically consewatine that they excmmumated Thin youp perple of then were caught reading the Bibl he hied no Qther alternatime but to nccept them woto the Prosestand/Aughion commnumin.
 He geved the lint chuntan elemintary schorl in Palistion. Af At the Ather end of the ecclesiastical spectrum, we $q$ the souster best-kurm early misimanes was no bishop. Hermann Gimant Came to Inchi



 The Boned Musion
\# was ecumenical lnt also deadecily vanuelical. Its
 like the earties Petsin $f$ Spener ar Fenche. It called dreef the "Cerman Soinety fr the Promition o Pree Doctime and Tme Gordmen" (lates the Guman Churtion Fellowatur - Dinsche Chistenthums gesellschapt".

As with the Muanaus - the prity of the Basel Misim was furm the bepuning broach pretical and social in its ontriach. The Besel Musion
was the hus t 4 the German musim sureties et add medical wank to (Warnch 1.118).
its evangelism, and unashamedly combined thadny and industrial
projects int its unve sfecificilly Chustion mursious in what tottery we would call "tent-making ministry". And Eynificoults - bath the
tent-miking t the monsity o mistress by preechic were considered pant of the musum task. The tent making was for the support of the mitres, hat was taif part o the untren, fr tho graduates of the minim wistinte were expected to "qed do the thins - "spaced a bimefrient


No better candilates for "tint making unssmaries" could have been foul than the fins gividnates of the nurutitite. They almost all had come int q some tack-stecking weavers, footing unbars, a glume motor, a shore mater a mi pe meter - and one White-collar maker - a clark. (Dimes, Roget.. r.80).
at tee some tim, ineving then the Buellhuming
But, no butts irampken the fact that "tent-making unsung"practical. simple, adeffectime as it man sound, is not quite that simple, mn neesssainly the the most effective and the only wave of the future is a model for muss.

Cros-cultural tent-making cam get very complicated, pointiudarly of the culture yon are tiring to reach In Gems Clint has no market fin tents.'

The lersons learred from the Baral mosion's unk
in Indri should be required reading for ampe interested in self-suppritul musious and seff-supprituy chuches. The germon misionamio had to be cincuned not inly abint then unn suppnit, but abo thet $q$ their converts - fr then ink on the Maleber Conat (siv Incha) bropht converts ant $q$ Thenn caste-sienty nito a mueti-ettimic community langely soitated furm ad athacized of thais fast asocietinn and means of livelihord. Htw conld they ean a livaig. The thission set int a find an answer - and twred fint it an spricultural exferiment. They fomed the cormects into Chintain in Uapes on land bupht by $n$ pwien to the unssin. The Chuntionn could become seff-suppnting Inchianfarmess. It chdn't ank.

Ansit 9 thes had no forming Expenence. Mreveren the land wann't Theiss - it was the minums. They were tenants, $A$ the experiment cesembled woting to unich as a th Commune, hut with the Inchaus sekected to pan rent, and no matts how low the rent was made, the fuple grombled $d$
 reseented the misin's landlud vole. The while experiment was aboudoved, ad imut-untys (in the isfos)
the lond fomally seld. "As une q the germanshad sand carhir, "it is a great blesinp that the mussimaines no lomper have ot fight intt the poople all wack and then preach to them q love on Sumiton" (Damiees, p. 84 , atiny Wom Sichlattes, Gestuchte den


The list 7 tent-making failures could be extended endlessly. At one point then hamper wen tho clockmaker from german th set up an industry, with could employ kos in Mampalne to make Black frost cuckoo clocks In the Indian market - but Indre proved rather midifferent to Bach Finest inchon clocks - Amencon clocks, made in New Such e were cheater. (Dumber 1.56). "There was a whole series of feilmes:" unites Danker, "silk culture, arrowroot, cociamit ail. Nothmip seemed to get anywhere (IBid.).

Bat then then finally lit the rept combinatunis. "It was in weaving and tile making that the Basel [mason] Indintsies gractually gest beyond the small shop approach in India "In, untie the making of "Kerman cuchoi clocks", India aheady had a bise o expenence in" meaning of the making on which the unosinizines coned bull - of is a remarkably shirt tune the Basel textiles were wining puzes in Indre, and shyipup thin forts ane to ind. Que q then urinary weavers search in a heary-duty dye in the cloth experminted isth the sap of the bark g an Indian tue and cane up with a new enl which the Enchain called "dusty" because it was the con $f$ "the whipnitmo brownish yellow dust It the Triton road. "Dusty" in Kanarese, the lied dialect is "Khaki"- Ad

and from the Inchom lompnape - and the unld got a waw corn fum the Basel musion. Klathi

Eqnally enccesful was the Basel Musim Tle Warhs". In
 wrece the centialized minstres the Basel musin which. became the muclens corond chiserew of the lapest contions of all of Inchen, the chich in Mengalve, with more than 3,0ro wambes nuxiom with then" a nuber almot unhead $q$ in Indiu "it "many small, weak nikepe ch ches".
 tradinp eatespunes - alwimp mitivated by the prupse 7 makin misinis seff-ampmiting - wever attovid the laye scale of the Inchion enterpuses but helfed \& save the conitis ecoum by intioduang opricultival commodity that "In pord a in ill" became to ghana what is) is to thabie - the eingle econsmic fridetion of the cuntrys walth. "True Surs farmess, menbers 7 the Bacel Musim, wee the frisi to plant cocon in ghave, buyig it furn sunth Americe. It becane the econimic "mnocurtine" q the region. (Danker, 1y. 96, 97).

But as the trading al mansicial entesprises \& the Misum
profered - tensins arse between the unssins busmesmen-musimaines, and its thenopically $t$ evorpalisticilly onsented misiunaines. Furm bith aides came contiusus of the intesturingy of busmess ad the gripel. Fum the 1840 s itwors fuit the buomes manaqus whisisted They demanded on unne indefenderce. At, the rypht to hine employees undefendent of the mision, and salaies highes than unssinam selaines. Others insisted that the buswis unit be sumendinated to the unch of the innsion, pouts int that its Me was io be anly the senice -1 apppont, 1 the grigel musion. Still athes insited that for the gord $q$ bith musim and undisty, the two must be kept completaty separete - nudunty conld pay the necessany Lyhes wapes; At the misimanies could not be cutcized in spends une Not umiti Wild Wiar I, homenes, was twime unhinis in money than in the Ind. fraith Sepunates $/ \mathrm{rm}$ the Tradup $C_{0,1}^{1+1}$ the Musim (Dewher My.108-III).

Won Danker enmmanizes the weakneses $x$ strentths of thes unsure blend $q$ sommerial induston ad gopel prodamation as proneied by the Basel Mrowin: (Trpit fo the Ind. Gr. Rapds: Eeatimans, 1971)

Cutionsis

1. The undustios kept the perple defendent on the musim as their factory boss
Anmes - In fact, the jobs in the Basd minustres at last made the wnhes independent of then ppresing landlnds end "blod-suckiny" momey lenders.
2. Cutions. Conmerial interests beem to supplant the musin's spintual concesus.

Ansmes. True - it has happenil, but $t$ com be arrided.
3. Cutaisin. Too many hecthen are emploged.

Answer. Mans o them beame Anintiai - bexdes, sheer Chistani compursinn shild arque in helping um. Chisituins cam a decent lirny.
4. Entiusm. Non-Chistarion re frued to altend 15-min "devotuno" dally.

Ansmas: The same is the in kn schorls all wer the unld (Dno was 1855, umenber ?)
s. Cutiusm. The derve of employment in the moson mdienties wechen the cerdibility it seme of the converomo.
Anomes "The and which we ain at in on industral enterpuses s uit $\delta$ nonse im the heitten the desine $a$ being converted. We only arm at mokny 1 parible In thore who have thas desive is carry it int.
6 Criticun. Mas 7 the commericial entespures havefonlid.
Answes. What else cula be exfected.
7. Cntrosm. Inplopes in Basel enterpines as pand uno than the averue Indain couns.
Anomen Good. The Chantican lye shuld also cost une.
8 Criticin. The Basel minion induntres are destrpmy midpernos weaving mettiocs al craft manshyp.
Annowes: "If $m$ clits has a prod sale, 11 the Enopean lomos Lwe have untroducel $I$ are bettes tham the Indain, I thimh the sympatory int What is netional is cannid tis fas of we are ashed ti fermace on peeple to dp, Lole in the groud, we the indion lom, mehe sais, and stane.

- (Dombier, 1p. 129-126).

But ferhaps the mast tetting defouse y the Basel manatrial puatten
7 unsion, was the way Ghanis Afucaus came to refer to the Musim
Tradmy Co. as it was kurnn in Apuce. Its old name was Basel Mussinn Faction ( $B M F$ )
BFM - but the Apncaw had C mickname In it - BFM : "Black thous Froind". (p. 128 ) (Dember, ip.
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## C. New Voluntary Societies.

The end of the crusades, however, brought a now spirit into the Roman church out of which grew new missionary societies and a new positive direction to Christian missions. Compare the militant warary of Pope Urban which roused Europe to a holy war against Islam with the gentle protest of Raymond Lull (d. 1315), the first to give his lifo to rission to tho Moslens. "They (1. $e_{0}$ the crusaders) think they can conquer by force of arms," he wrote. "It seans to me that the victory van be ron in no other way than as thou, O Lord Christ, didst seek to vin it, by love and prayer and self-sacrifice". (quoted in C. H. Robinson, History of Christian Missions, N.I., Seribners, 1915. p. 19)

The new rood in missione was spear-headed by the strange but moving example of St . Francis of Assissi, who became convinced, about ( $1182-1226$ ) the time of the Fifth Crusade, that the Moslens remained iseathen not be sauso thry bere Gospel had never properly been presented to them in their minds and hearts. $n$ Even bofore Lull. Francis made three missionary journeys. to try to do this himselforto Morococ in 1212. to Spain in 1214, and to beypt in 1219. In Reypt he manated to win his vay even into the prosence of the sultan and preached before him. It matters not, really, that has aission failed, or that his missionary netiods were almost sidiculoualy unsound. "Kinde a fire," he sald to the sultan, alnost lika M1jah before Ahab, "and let your nriests and ne miter it together and lot cod deteriane whether the tru faith be on wy side or theirs." (Thouas Euith, Rediagral issions, Edinburich 1380, p. 225). The sultad ronsod, of cousse, and Francis returned without results. But wre luportant than the siccess or fallure of his mission was its landenars position, as Blshop Nolll has polnted out iop. cit. p. 115). mariciny a "new spirit in the Christian roorld", and "a notable shift... In the iussionary methods of the Christion Churches. For five veuturlus at the heart of the missionary enterprise had stood tine nonasterf.. Fror now on and for tio centuries the contral place will ba hald by the tio great orders of Friars: the Frariciscans and the




Tro carlior orders, such as the Irisin and the
Benodictines, were pritarily monastic and orily secondaily missionary. The two new orders, Franciceans and Dominicans were first and foremost missiorary oryanizations (Latourette, 1i, p. 320 fi.). Franciscans emphasizod poverty, lay whtnoss and nartyrciom. Doninicans, who called themsolves the Order of Freachers, emphasizod soholorship and the preaching of the olergy. Eoth societies developed specific organizations for the sonduct of forelen missions. The Socieias fratrum peregrinantium propter cinistur of the Dominicans oestered its nork in monasteries in the Near Cast. The Franolscans forned a socioty with the sare nane but Wh th wider scope and organized thalr missions into six territories, each under a vicars three among the Mongols, and one each in Morocco, tho withiem Balkans, and what is now the Ukraine and Romania.

The Franciscans, who have sent out more missionaries than any other orler axcept the jesuito, later divided their liongol teritozies

Dominican
nocent had used in speaking of them. This name denotes their ideals. They were to preach, and in order to do this effectively, they were to devote themselves to study. They were to be friars, not monks; they were to live in the busy haunts of men instead of secluded in a convent the world was to be their cloister. By preaching and by example they were to spread Christian doctrines and ideals among the people. In 1217
127 - Sent his
Dominic sent his followers out on their mission. He said: "You are still a little flock, lout already I have formed in my heart the project of dis persing you abroad. You will no longer abide in the sanctuary of Prouille. The world henceforth is your home, and the work God has created for you is teaching and preaching. Go you, therefore, into the whole world and teach all nations. Preach to them the glad tidings of their redemption. Have confidence in God, for the field of your labors will one day widen to the uttermost ends of the earth." Accordingly, some went to Spain, some to Paris and some to Bologna. Their success was very rapid. At Dominic's death, four years later, the order already had sixty convents scattered through Spain, France, England, Italy, Germany and IIungary. Its influence was increased by the adoption of a vow of absolute poverty. The friars could have no property and no regular income. They could attack the problems created by the new wealth without being accused of profiting from the new wealth. Instead they supported themselves by begging and the Dominicans thus became a "mendicant" order.

The emphasis which Dominic hat placed on learning made his followers especially active in university towns. Some of them became noted scholars, and they soon obtained professorships at Paris Oxford, Montpellier, Bologna and Toulouse The secular clergy were jealous of this success and tried to bar the Dominicans from the higher faculties, but with papal support they overrode all opposition. Eventually the Dominicans established their right to a certain number of chairs in the theological faculty at Paris, and since Paris was the leading university, this brought them recognition everywhere. Some of the most influentidal scholars of the thirteenth century were Dominicans-for example 'Thomas Aquinas, the greatest philosopher of the Church, and Vincent of Be auvais, who summed up medieval knowledge in a luge encyctope char. Because of their lemming and their early interest in heresy, the Dominicans were especially interested in the Inquisition, and its most active branches ware under their control.

The other great mendicant order was founded by Francis of Assisi. He was born in Italy in 1182 and was thus some twelve years younger than Dominic. He was the son of a rich merchant of Assisi and as a youth led a joyous life. Francis was greatly interested in stories of chivalry aud longed to distinguish himself as a knight. His one military adventure however, proved disastrous and he returned home desperately ill. 'The collapse of his hopes turned his thoughts to religion, and he
(Irapl Strayed the Mridle Ques 395-is3
Deme Min
cuppetin, Enter, Gigs.
we at to be saved. When he was about twenty he finally became convince that he inust renounce wealth and family ties and serve God in povert through charity. He did not withdraw from the world but instead begat to preach and to do good works among his neighbors.
Other mien of like mind gathered about him until there were towel in all. They then sought the pope at the Lateran Council in 1215 to hay their undertaking confirmed. The pope hesitated at first, for there we 1 obvious resemblances between Francis' plan, and that of Peter Wald Francis, however, was willing to accept suggestions from the leaders the Church, which Waldo had never done, and the need for a new ty] of religious order was more obvious in 1215 than it had been in 117 So Francis" followers, the "Minorites" or "Friars Minor," as they call themselves in their humility, were allowed to begin their work. Fro the first, Francis insisted on absolute poverty? The brethren were labor with their hands, but they were not to receive wages in mon

Whet's the malta

- Heme you preteen marred Yes to the tamest $q$ all hades - La donne Pineta the ban 4 Purest.
Passim - "Sufficing". the cote 7 pain". "Fans the honked dom fol who much poverty when has made this, you should wish to have nought else un harp/ the mus of the lamb The Medina (lech, r. 54 ).
of lis early followers imbibed. He tried to apply the precepts of Cl
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though they might accept gifts of food or elothing. They were to take thought for the morrow and were to give to the poor all that was not solutely necessary for the day. The rule ordered:

The brethren shall appropriate to themselves nothing, neither house, place, nor other thing, but shall live in the world as strangers and pilgri and shall go confidently after alms. In this they shall feel no shame, si the lond for our sake made himself poor in the world. It is this perfection literally, and to imitate His life in all things. He delighted in saeri for the poor and especially for the lepers, who were the outcasts of (ietys) Flo renounced worldly pleasures without becoming bitter and He loved all created things; he chanted the praises of the sun preached sermons to the birds. He was alivays gay and at times of play fol. He nance one of his followers "the plaything of Jesus Ch and called the bretliren "the Lord's elowns." ${ }^{7}$
"Is it not in fact true," he said, "that the servants of Cod are re
hike clowns, intended to revive the hearts of men, and to lead then spinturl joy?" Francis also succeeded in spiritualizing his early eh -vatic iele.1s. He sang the praises of "My Lady Poverty" as a trouba would sing the praises of his mistress, and he sought spiritual advent as :1 wand ring knight would seek temporal combats. He was pa and $l_{\text {n in }}$ He, yet "he possessed an original and well-balaneed mind Jumblite s, here and elsewhere translated as "clowns," is an inclusive ten ulertair is, players, acrobats, and gleeman.
into four osolesiastical units: Kipohak, Persia, Turkestan and China. They were the first Roman Catholic inssionames to reach China. The first contact was made by John of Plano Carping (or Plan de Carping) who carried a letter from the Pope to the Mongol Emperor Kuyuk Khan In 1246. Another Franciscan, William of Rubruck, reaching the court of Manful Khan in 1255 near Karakorum, actually witnessed to the Fnperor who was interested in all religions but apparently reasoned Shamanist. Neither of these men reached China proper. That honor was reserved for a third Franciscan, John of Montecorvino, who arrived in Peking In 1294, built a church, and by 1305 reported that he had won as many as Guv convert. LUCLThe secetest a this early mussomones was Raymond hill, whom I quoted above. *

It is not surprisinfo, however, that it was the Dominicans, with toil equhasis on scholarship, who contributed no st to the theology see me and science of missions in the 13th century. *Raymond of Penaforte. (d. 1275), like helle, enlisted the support of the kings of Castile and Aragon (Spain) in starting schools for the study of Arabic and Hebrew to train missionaries to Moslers and dews. ia den wore important, perisas, he persuaded the great Thomas Aquinas to write mat Latcurette ails "a handbook for missionaries" (11, p. 314), the Sura contra Gentiles. This may well be the fliest book on misalology (missionary tincolocy and science) ever specifically written for that purpose. In essmoe, Thomas concludes that a different approach will be meodod to present the gospel to complete pagans, like the ifoslems, then that :Mich con be used with tho se who are nearer to the Fath. like J ens (or horctics). Jews at least will accent the OLd Tostanuit, and most horcties acknowledge the suthorlty of the New Teselanent as wall as the Id Teston. Therefore the Bible is the best autiomtativo approach to then. But Vosleans, he points out, do not recognize the authority of the Bible. Fir wat means, then, can they bo reachoul Tho only av cue of appeal to complete pagans, sass lina, is reason. Natural reason is the only possibles approach to then, he argues, "for it. (1.e. reason) demerits the assent of all". (Sumps contra Gentiles, 1, 2)

This was the begriming of a serious catholic attempt in develops a retciec of mission. It was accelerated by the discover., In tho 7.5 th and lath centuries, of whole new worlds of pagan peoples. The direct contact of catholic anoles in the so natal lands stimulated Cathoilc thinkers Like Joannes Azorius (153j-1.003); Antonius posovinus ( $15 \%-1621$ ) and others to developer more complete and systematic theologies of missionsmbut that belongs properly in our consideration of the neat period: The floformation and the counteremefornation.







## An All-Inclusive System

# SELECTED WORKS OF RAMONLLULL 

(1232-1316.)
Edited and translated by Anthony Bonner.
Illustrated. Two volumes. 1,329 pp. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
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## By David H. Rosenthal

RAMON LLULL (1232-1316) was a true original - poet, storyteller, mystic and philosopher. But his work, except for William Caxton's 15th-century translation of "The Book of the Order of Chivalry," has been hidden from English-language readers. Now, although most of Llull's 263 works remain untranslated, Anthony Bonner has provided a representative selection that reveals the many facets of Llull's complex personality and vision. While he sometimes wrote in Arabic or Latin, the bulk of Llull's work was in Catalan. In fact, he was the originator of Catalan literature. And since the revival of that literature in the last century, following a period of several hundred years when there was virtually no Catalan writing, he has become again a widely read author with real influence on modern Spanish and Catalan writers.

Born in Majorca shortly after James I of Aragon took it from the Moors, Llull grew up in privileged circles and became a steward in the royal court. As a young courtier, he found love and poetry much more fascinatlng than the great theological debates of the age, with which, however, he was well acquainted. He mastered the techniques of troubadour and amatory verse and tested them, as it were, in extramarital affairs. Then, abruptly, he changed. Writing a love poem one night, he saw Christ on the Cross - a vision that reappeared each time he tried to return to the poem. He destroyed his manuscripts, renounced secular life and forsook his wife, children and possessions.

For nine years after his conversion, Llull lived under the tutelage of Cistercian monks, studying theology and Latin texts. He also bought a Moorish slave, who instructed him in Arabic and Islamic doctrine. He then retired for a while to a mountain to meditate. One day as he gazed heavenward, he received divine inspiration to begin work on his "Art" - an attempt to relate the created universe to the nature of God and explain Christian thought in logical terms that Moslems and Jews would also find persuasive. He organized schools in which missionaries could study Arabic and other "pagan" tongues. For the rest of his life he wrote, preached and undertook perilous travels. Three trips to North Africa proved especially risky, two of them ending in beatings, imprisonment and expulsion and the third, legend has it, in his death.

Llull's influence as a thinker was enormous. Among his early admirers were the 15 th-century cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, who collected and annotated many of his books; the Renaissance philosopher Giordano Bruno, who published seven studies of Llull's ideas; and the German philosopher and mathematician Leibniz, whose "Dissertatio de Arte Combinatoria" (1666) seeks to reform and improve Llull's system of thought. In all these cases, the primary focus was on his "Art," described in Mr. Bonner's introduction as "a complex system, using semi-mechanical techniques combined with symbolic notation and combinatory diagrams, which was to be the basis of apologetics in addition to being applicable to all fields of knowledge." Moreover, Llull acquired an unfounded reputation as an alchemist. No fewer than 77 works on this subject have been attributed to him. (Six of these, dogeared

David H. Rosenthal, a poet and critic, translated "Tirant lo Blanc" and Merce Rodoreda's "My Christina: And Other Stories" from the Catalan.
and heavily annotated, were in Isaac Newton's library.) And with the advent of empiricism, Llull also acquired some famous detractors, including Rabelais and Bacon.

Part of Mr. Bonner's editorial achievement is to give us a balanced view of Llull, whose purpose was to instruct even when he entertained or inspired. Volume One begins with "The Book of the Gentile and the Three Wise Men," Llull's greatest essay in apologetics and a relatively painless introduction to his "Art." "The Book of the Gentile" is an astonishingly evenhanded account of a courteous debate about faith among a Christian, a Jew and a Saracen. Llull clearly thinks the Christian has the better of it, but no winner is declared. The volume also contains two denser works explaining how his "Art" developed. Volume Two includes "Felix, or the Book of Wonders," one of Llull's major novels, plus a scientific treatise, "Principles of Medicine," and a mystical work, "Flowers of Love and Flowers of Intelligence." As Mr. Bonner stresses, all these books are closely related, and Llull perceived them as presentations of the same system in various guises and applications.

The most arresting piece is a section of "Felix" called the "Book of the Beasts." This allegory concerns the machinations of Dame Reynard, a fox who sets rivals and superiors against one other, kills some and persuades her king, the lion, to dispose of others, until at last she is her monarch's sole counselor. As the book progresses and Dame Reynard approaches her goal, she grows bolder and more insatiable, while the lion becomes increasingly murderous and besotted by his accumulated bloodguilt. Dame Reynard's story is reinforced by a secondary tale in which the leopard and the lynx are sent as ambassadors to the king of men, in whose court we behold strikingly modern scenes of graft and corruption. When eight notables complain to the king about his rapacious appointees, His Majesty, in a classic case of official waffling, refers them to his council. But the councilors, who receive a cut of all extorted money, reprove the petitioners in a scene any big-city politician would recognize.

THE "Book of the Beasts" stands out among medieval fables for the vehemence and specificity of its assaults on courtly life. Instead of a generalized satire on human foibles and fatuity, we find almost Swiftian moral outrage at the viciousness of power and what Shakespeare called the insolence of office. At one high point, amid a scene of gluttony and lechery in the court of the king of men, Llull (who often appears in his works as Ramon the Mad) suddenly looms up before his readers and accuses his own characters: "Let neither the king nor queen forget, nor their barons, nor any others, great or small, who eat in this hall, that God created all the things which are on the king's table, and on those of the others; that He made them varied and delectable to eat; and He caused them to be brought from faraway lands so that they might be at the service of man, and so that man might serve God. Let neither the king nor the queen think that God will forget the improprieties committed in this hall, in which God is dishonored, for there is no one here to reprove what is reprovable, nor to praise what is praiseworthy, nor to thank God for the honor which, in this world, He has bestowed on the king, the queen, and all the others."

As one can see from this passage, Mr. Bonner has resisted what his preface calls "the translator's temptation to smooth over what he considers 'rough places.' " In those selections primarily aimed at presenting Llull's thought, this approach does lead to a kind of transparency. In the more literary selections, however, it works badly. Mr. Bonner would have done better to vary his style - especially in "Felix." This, however, is a minor quibble about a generous and illuminating introduction to one of medieval Europe's most individual thinkers, who was also one of its earliest vernacular novellsts and the first of the great Iberian mystics.
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K. i. Intourette. A History of Unristian iissions in China. N.Y. 1929
 3. 2 in Mistory of the emanclon of Christienity. $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{K} .1533$

1. Nuan jathailo hristiante unjor the andols (1200-1358).
a starting.
about, the ridile of tha l2th century slanting fition reports ure is to filter into murope fron Asiam-revorits about a mysterious difulstion king beynd the falling arpire of the Areos and the rishog novar of the fearfin. Turke. Otto of Freising, the greatost historian of the didule Ages and no oreculous bellever in wonderful tales (he rejoutei the Doration of constantine as a forery. for examio). recorls in his UMronicon (vii, 3j) the report of a Sivrian bishon visitins 1 taly in 114 y that "a certain Johs, who lives beyord Persta and Arnamia in the axtrenen Orimt, a isind and a priest and a anistian aitin his thole nation, though a Hestoriar" had defeated the ling of porsia, bumed his capital (iolstata) and had been

 p. Lu. Hrom Gerrants. Historic. Illustr. etc. Mristiani Urstisil Bastliensts, 150j\%

This was eloctrifuing mevs to lath century Catiolic warope. The sacond wusace ( $1144-48$ ) has going bari.y and mould end in complete disaster. Jerusalea which had bean won and hold by the irusaders at sixn reat eost would fall back to Saladin and his loslems in 1137. Ainurd the Lion-heartod of Jugland. Frederick Barbarossa of
 it in tho iost ánitious smisade of all, the Thim (2103-92). let nese fion the other erb of the world care persistent reportis that a "inj, jailet irestor Jom, at the head of Christian armies from Asia was acooplishing what the greatest knights and kings of Western jhristastornat so trasically failod to do-defeat the Saracms.

Asian Church Hist．，R．C．（ifediaeval）
the story that help was on its way in the person of Prester John． But the sreat doliverer was dirficult to locate．In 1177 the pope （Alexander IIf）heard of a Unristian king in India（or Abyssiria， sone said），and this king，too，was identified as Prester John（Yule－ cordier，．1＇\％quoting Baronius）．He still did not appear，but the hope lungereu 0．1．

Then cane the 13 th century，which lise the Ist and the 7 th， was anuther enplosive jurming point in human history．Far off on the Astan hosizon like a yellow cloud of cust out of the fobi desert， tne（inlden horde of Genghiz khan bagan to ride acmes the roof of the vorlu．Hope flickered in the west one more，but as the short． tnick－$e \mathrm{t}$ ，Llooindrinkmb．．orgol horsumen broise asross the Volga in 1．22？bo butgior the priwees of sunthem liussia，that hope collapeed． These vere not the Gristion soluiers of prestid Jonng fiorn like the amiles of Antichrist，wote wotei bzeon，the mediaeval ecieritist，and some people propared for the end of the norid．（．．．．．iockhill，Jonmey
 anitacn＇s（purs Majus， 1 ， 2 is on the second Foneol invasion）
ind yet，in the proviciense of Gud，hie rearful ronsol isuaujus oi the Ijti sentiry opened the rod to Asia for missions 1．0 the ost as it had hever been open vefore eince the daye of the Aroztles．In the ciavs of wone it had been Llocied bJ the Persian
 id：．ow at last to was ouchei，not by hostern crusaders and not －$\alpha$ if 4 ian Fiosbey ion bist by an ex，losive neta power rising in the ロここさ。
 1 上 The．．an Iuire in the nest，the perstan antre in the center，and Jina in tha fay east．The explosive ne：factor in that first centary



 dat an mine of スyantina at constantinople．The third was the Arab
 still Liona，mterinc；the yolden afe of the T＇ang dyasty．The rough



 seidar，blocisod from antering muone but kse ance burope out of Asia； and in the ea3t，Hsing out of ientral Asia，the Iongols．As so often in nissions histor，timos of fement and change proved to be openings for the gospel：in the first century，the work of the apostles；in the 7th，ilestorian expansion into Last dsia；and in the 13th，the begimhu；s of wom Jatholio nisstons in ista．

It was the first stirrings of the time of change that had probably given rise to the story of prester John. As early as 1000 \%. I. a revival of Nestorianisn in Central Asia began to win thousands of converts anong the Uighurs, Keralte, Nerinits and onguts. Lu the next contury the northeastom tribes moved against the wowers of the Sung dyasty. A northern Manchurian tribe, the waichen, conquaral the khitans who then ruled Manchuria and parts of wor thom Rorna, and sot up a rival dynasty which they called chita ( $1117 \mathrm{~F}-123$ ! $)$ : pusince the sun" Bupire s\%uth out of northern filis. Cns (rour) of the coseated Khitans moved west into what is aว: binkiand and Turkestan. In 1141 they met and defeated a Pergian ( i 2 L ju!: Turc) army thioh was resisting their westward actvance, and they sot $u_{1}$ ) a new westorn Thinese emple called Karaukhitay (or Slaus (Gathay) thich lastef for alnost 100 years ( $1124-1211$ ) th the Tarin iliver basin south of Lake Balkash stretching froin Samariand adid adnare to lop Hor. This vas the territory of the foristianized Whinura and Hab:ans. It ias probably the report of the dareat. of
 Cathay, Yeliu Tashi (or Cushi Tilgun), wheh filterei into Emope in 1445 and geve rise to the legend of prester dohn. Yeliu Tashi was rot Curistien, but nany of his subjects were, and at least he had depoatcl the Mohaminedans. (See H. Mororth, Mist. of the Hongols. Fur't 1. 27. 5-7).

All thla : as only relut? to the stom to come. About Wenty years after the liofeat of the Perctan Altan (1. c. about 1162) as Huncl child var hinm cast of Black Gthay noar Lako Baikal where tho Grshon and berenge !arers flow together. It is about as remote an ai $=$ as one mold find, about half way betrieen Irkutek and Whan iator. The child's name vas Temjin and a Menhiz khan he charged the hap of the rorld. His aront achierement wes that for the filest and onl: the in history bonited the fience, nomadis tribes of northem Wiea a hanacred them into a cohesive molitical and rilitary organizim tios. ihey hold tocethor for only 150 years bit tho world has never sucn wothor aroy like it.

Gea hiz Whan took Feking in 1215, and tinree years later his
 Thon fuderly they turned Vest and in one of the most stupendous forced warbucs of all tare pourcd across Asia. Black lathay foll. The Houngls crossed the tovering Panirs that separate East from west Asia
 was aharmedon territory, and in murope the lecend of Prester John canc lasis to life. In lects they defeated a mssion arny undor thes i. Auch of isisv and mupe levond the Volra vas open to thein, but
 (h)intod wegent until the alection of a ner when. And 'ruli's wife has the Nestorien princoss borosen of the kerait tribo.
.inllo dela vaites for the election of a successor. furpe
relaxed, thinking the stom was over. But the worst was pet to come. A second Mongol invasion after the election of Ogodae as Khaknan swept into Durope in 1238 laying it utterly waste from the Baltic to the Danube. Poland, Lithuania, Silesia, Moravia, Noldavia, Wallachia, Transylvania and Hungary were alnost depopulated in great swathes of conquest. The liongol forces, taking Budapest, poised for the annihi. lation of Austria, when a dusty courier spurring his way all across Asia brought word that Ogodal was dead, and the descendants of Genhiz Khan were sumnoned back for the election of a new leader. Again, as in 1227 the death of a Khen saved Europe.

This time the West took the new conquerors more seriously. The pope, always eager to assert both hiss temporal and spiritual leadership of Christendom, tried to rally Europe both militarily and ecclesiastically to avert dieastor. The disasters of the Fourth (1202). Fifth (1218) and Sixth (1228) Crusades whtech only turned Christians against Christians and sacked the Christian city of Constantinople but left Jerusalem to the Moslems had shocked Europe and discredited the wholo crusading enterprise, but Pope Innocent IV tried to proclaim a Urusade to savo Hungary from the new "envoys of Satan", the Mongols. at the same time, in a more positive way, he turned to a more powerful force than the sword and sent out the first of a series of Christian missions. If the Mongols cannot be conquered, he thought, perhaps they can be converted, or at least brought into an alliance with Christendom against a corumon enemy, the itoslens.

Providentially, missionary revival had already begun in the doman church. Two potentially great new missionary orders had only recently been founded, the Franciscans and the Dominicans. They are called mendicant orders and added a new dimension to traditional monastioisw, an explixit insistence on preaching and mission to those outside the church. 'They were missionary and evangelistic.

Franols of Assisse has been called "the first to nake the ideal of missionary service an integral part of the religious life." He not only organized his Franciscans, beginning about 12lo, around that ideal, he went as a missionary himself to the loslems during the Fifth Crusade vinore he was grieved and disillusioned by the vices and lack of epiritual motives of the crusaders. As the order grew after the dedth of Francis in 1226, Francistmissionaries became the backbone of konan Catholic outreach in Asia, particularly among the Mongols in russia and China. At the beginning of the fourteenth century, reports Latourette, the Franciscans had seventeen stations in the Mongol-ruled parts of llussia, with several bishoprics and two archbishoprics (Latour. Hist. of Christianity, p. 582 f.). But more important were their inissions to the center of Mongol power in Clatazal Asia and China.

In the next hundred years, from 1242 to 1342 seven or eight different Catholic missions, partly political ard partly religious, were sent on the long and difficult joumey across Asia to the Mongolsi

Asian Church Hist., R.C. (Mediaeval)
Most of the indssionaries were Franciscan, with a scattering of Dominicans. "1Tis worthy of the gratoful romembrance of all Christian people," wrote ricold of Montecroce, "that just at the time when God sent forth into the eastem parts of the world the Tartars to slay and be slain, He also sent forth in the west his faithful and blessed servants Dominic and Francis, to mlighten, instruct and build up in the Faith." (quoted in Yule-Cordier, Cathay and the way Thither... vol. 1, p. 155).

Here is a listhng of the first major missionary ventures of the friars into Asia in the hundred years that the way remained open, from 1245 to 1345 :

1. Friar John of Pian de Carpine, (124;-1.247). Pranciscan.
2. Friar Lawrence of Portugal, (1245?) Franciscan.
3. Friar anseln of Lombardy. (1247-1250). Jominican.
4. Friar Andrew of Longumeau. (1249-1251). Dominican.
5. Friar villian of Rubrucis, (1253-12j5). Pranciscan.
6. The Polo brothers:
a. First journey, without nis3ionarios, (1250.1269).
b. Second journey, with Marco ie missionaries, (1271-1295).
\%. dohn of rontecorvino, (1231-1328). Franciscan.
7. Jieenforcements for the Franciscan mission, (1307, 1311).
8. Friar Odorio of Pordenone, (1322-1323).

1U. John of Marignolli, ( $1342-1346$ ).
Friar John of Pion de Carpine (the name is also given as Plano varpini, etc.). In April 1245 Pope Innocent organized tivo aissions to the Mongols and entrusted them to the Franciscans. The nost important one, to the Mongols in Iiussia, was entrusted to John of Pian de Caroine, a direct disciple of Francia of Assissi who finally delivered the papal lotter not to the Mongol commander in Russia but to the Great Khan, Kuyuk Khan (grandson of Jenghiz) near the Mongol capital of caracorum in fiongolia. The purpose, as we have noted was two-fold: politioally to avert the Mongol onslaughts on Christendom, and spiritually, to preach Christianity to them.

John's route took hisa first through familiar Christian territory, to Gemany, Boheria, Poland and on to Kiev in kussia on the Lnieper which had been captured and destroyed by the Mongols sevenl years before. From there they moved into the unknown. Not even their horses could live beyond Kiev, they were told. They must have llongol horses which could find fodder under the snow. It had already taken then ten monthe, tot come this far. Two weeks out of Kiev they were suddenly haltod by Mongols. Questioned closely about their purpose, the missionarios answere that they were "envoys of the Lord pope who was the lord and father of Christians" who had sent them to the king of the Tartars "because he desired that all Christians should be friends of the Tartars and at peace with them. Korcover, as he wished they they should be mighty with God in heaven, he, the Lord Pope, advised then. othat they should become Christians and receive the faith of our Lord desus Christ for nthervise they could not be saved." The envoys went on boldly to rebuke the Monfols for killing so many people, especially Christians, and their own subjects, Hungarians, Moravians and Poles, who had done theon no ham. (Rubuck-Rockhty. pp,5,6).

Carpini's orders directed him to deliver the Pope's letter to the chief Mongol prince in Kussia. That was Batu, conqueror of eastern Buropo, eldest son of Jenghin's eldost son, and ruler of the Golden Horde, the far western division of tho Mongols. The Mongols in the first camp hurried the papal messengers on for anothor months through tho frozen wastes before they reached the edge of Batu's camp on the Volga. It was a city of tents so large that it took Carpini a whole hour to ride from the edge of camp to Batu's tent. There he had to pass through tro fires, a superstitious observance that was thought to strip him of any evil power that might hurt the chief, before they were admitted to the gteat tent, which had once belonged to the King of Hungary. Each of his 26 wives had a great tent of her ow. Batu refused to recelve the papal letter, but said it must be delivered to the Great Khan in Mongolia, and keeping all the rest of the party as hostage, he sent just the two missionaries, Pian de Carpine and Benedict the Pole, off to the unknown east. "We started out most tearfully," writes Carpino. "not knowing whether we wero going to life or death. Wo were furthermore so feeble that we could hardly ride; during the whole of that lent our only food had been millet with salt and water..." (Rubruk-iockhill, p. 11).

By about tho middle of Hay, after riding for 7 woeks through line territory of tho Golden Horde, they came to the edge of Eatu's territory on tho Aral Sea, and entered the territory of Jerghiz's second son, jugatai (d. 124l), land formerly bolonging to the Kharizmian Enpire (Hoslen) and the Karamhitaj Erpire among the Christianized Uichurs and Naimans, though Carpine flatly calls them "pageris". This would bo on tho Ginowiongolion sido of the roof of Asia. It took more than 2 months of hard, painful riding from the edge of Eaturs realu to the lioncol capital at Karalorun, wich thej reached on Julj 22. Thero thoy found that a now Great khan kad betan clocted, Kuyuli, son of Cjodat, son of Jenghiz, --not Batu, the eldost of Jenghiz surviving coccendents: the trio werc rivals-e. So at tho enthronement of Kuyuk in tha providenco of cod, amone the 4000 envoysma "Scljuk Sulten. Grand irince Yaroslav of Russia, Princes from China and Korea, from Fars and Kirmin, from Georgia, from Nlcppo, great dignitarles from tho Culiphate, enfssaries from tho rulerk of tho Assassins, all in their splendid robes... were the two Franciscan frlars over wose plain brom habit thoro had also boen placed ceromonial robes." So the popo's letter came to the hands of the cost powerful ruler in the world, and Kujul khan kopt thom waiting a month for an answer. But they did have an audienco with Kuyuls, his first since his enthronement, and were asked if they whshed to make him any presents. Looking across the valley they sam more than 500 carts "all full of gold and oflver and silken coms". presents for tho Khan, but embarrassedly had to confess they had used up everything on the journey and had nothing to give him. (wubrucli-llockhill, p. 24).

Ono bit of news, however, filled them with excitement. They found that the Bnperme was constantly attended by Christians (Nestorians). and sone of the Christians in his houschold told Caypine "that they fimly velicred ho vas about to become a Christian." As evidence of this, Carpine, adds, "he keeps Christian clerks and gives them allowances, and he has
and he has always the chapel of the Christians in front of his great tent, and (these priests) chant publicly and openly and beat (a tablet) according to the fashion of the Greeks at appointed hours just like other Christians..." (Rubruck-Rockhill, p. 29)

When the two friars left for the long return journey, the Khan proposed sending his own ambassadors with them. Carpine discouraged this. His reasons are revealing. First, he said, "we feared they would see the dissensions and wars anong us". Second, ws feared they would be sples. And third, we feared they would be killed in Europe, "as our people for the most part are arrogant and hasty". The missionaries did not want to expose the weaknesses of Western Christendor to the longols. (Ibid)

The missionaries returmed to the pope in Lyons with optimistic news of the possibility of a conversion of the Kongols. Unknown to them, the Great Khan's letter of reply which they carried was a proud and chilling warning of precisely the opposite. Perhaps because of its negative nature it was never made public, and was unlmown to historlans until the 19 th century and only recently has the original become avallable. It reads, in part, as follows:
"By the power of the Eternal Heaven, We are the 2ll-embracing Khan of the United Great Nations. It is our commands

This is a decree, sent to the great Pope that he may know and pay heod. After holding onunsal with the monarchs under your suzerainty, you have sent us an offer of subordination which we have accepted.... (You) should come in person with the monarchs to pay us homage and we should thereupon instruct you concerning the commands of the Yasak (Code of laws).

You have sald it would be well for us to become Cimistians. You write to me in person about this matter... This your request we cannot understand. Furthermore, you have written me these words: 'You have attacked all the berritories of the Magyars and other Christians, at which I an estonished. Tell me, what was their crimel' These your words we likevise cannot understand. Jenghiz Khan and Ogatai Khan revealed the commands of Heaven. Those of whom you speak showed thenselves higily presumptuous and slew our envoys. Thereforo, in accord ances with the commands of the Eternal Heaven the been slain. . If not by the command of Heaven, how can anyone slay or conquer out of his own strength?

And when you say: 'I am a Christian. I pray to God. I arraign and despise others, ' how do you know who is pleasing to God and to whom He allots His grace? ....

Thanks to the power of tho Eternal Heaven, all lands have been given us us from sunrise to sunset... Now... you in person at the head of the monarchs, all of you without exception, must come to tender us service and pay us homage...."

This was not the reply of a king about to become Christian. it chilled the Pope but it did not stop the missionaries.
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Lopole was converted at the ape of 26 (azs?) from a life ...F boyole
of militany proflijacy. trio of Drmmic, Fancis and Iqnatius that produced the "preat centurnes of Catholic misims - the $13^{32}$, and $16^{23}$ as conntenparts $\%$ the "great century" y Protestant misions, the $19^{\text {² }}$. Amminic, Famais $-x$ Ipnatius - three very different charecters - but each in has orn way contributing an matrspenseble element to the Chusitain musion

Irmmic, The teacher.
Fromus, the gentle, lorin forl, hut alme all tho believes Iquativs loyola, the kupht in shiminp armm, the descyimed faratici.

To most penple in the 20 İ contum, Fanais is the unit appealmp unape of a muswaing byok n to descplused, hand, militan, panatic. But it was liyolar who produced the greatest mision, and the mont moredible unld-unde resuits. I want ynct lork at linda as a study an


A juise once descuned Ingita who had been bught befre hoi In dustubing the feace as a youp, long.haired knint, "cunnum, volant and
 One y has pupils said that "few great men had so few rdiers" buts added sarrificanth, "still feumer had been mone tunopith eamest in the realizathon" if these ideas" (ind, p. 28

EC 22 - The Jesuits

In his huston ${ }^{\prime}$ the Jesuits, Rene Finlop-Inles composes lozole intis lenin. "Linin, to, led few ideas, but there he supt to put unto practice init e an earnestivess and a prover equal $t$ loyolis... These the mien, the greatest
 problems of hunan wats int an sion resolve and were not indented init a few suparfinal champers, bat compelled the complete subinarition and tramsfrimetom, in acendance with then ideas, $q$ the intellect, the behefs, the the percephoms and the desires of Thin formers. Both also knew the secret $q$ instrical efficacy, which consits in patting every Therm to the test $y$ practice, in creating in interplay of fancy, scientific kunwledpe, clear practical considerations and determined asl, thigh which alone human nature can be mastered. No one else has wen understand to the same extent as Iqretius (Loyola) and Crim the importance of that pewee which aloe can unite thousands of people in all parts of the undid into a uniform and exactly functionip quanijetion: the importance of absolute obedience.

But it all kean int the conversion of the young Spain kit,
Dingle that he was mad, too, like Cronus, when he game up his

the uned of Clint. He was bun ant the bepmig 9 the Spain cue $q$ disomen, in the days 1
 But his tres on inlitang glory faded when his lay was shattered by a nowannon ball at the seepe of Pamplona, when he was $30 .($ a 26 ?). He went thigh tortures inymy save his lay, his good how, id his nilitang caver.

Led to be bunsen again. Put the resetting was joint as chary. "A strip y bane, "Says Fulip- Miller] "protinded from the lye.". "It coned not be nomured except by sawing the end $q$ the bridoon brume $H$ - and the pain itself almost kited him. But he mosited the savvyno anaesthetic, $f$ course in three days. Its lye healed, but was ta sins. He insisted It be stretched on a rack for week.

At was all in vain. Hes le was still shat, 4 he limped in the rest $f$ his lye
Font as he shows and painfully reconoed, he begin I read. He came acton a collection Q cather erapgerated twas of the Saints (Plus Sanctums). He reed Low ST. Femisis invent unafraid into the camp if the innel custom;" al how St. Dominie had the git \& stripe murices, such as lentatain.
 Inst he decided, he change his life - and

He had his sew nit seat him in a chain by the widow where he exod lark up at the sky th heaven and mediate might after ingot on how he coned chomper himself and find His wow Master. Then me upht, for nose fum his bed, knelt bepre a picture $y$ the Vino and promised God he homed furrow Hin freemen under the bower of Chirit. (p.4i).

He ininpined it, at full, as a cmoode. He rode ant y has finis cattle on a mule, to make a vil luke a kist at mountain then solonnem changing clothes with is beggar - he began his spinitial training in a damp cane in the hills. He spent 7 hons a day on his knees praying; Le dept $m$ the damp grind; he ate only blade breed + herbs, spomilinip them with ashes to destiny any trice of taste. He beeped, He newer washed. He scarred himself doily, and lune next to has skin an undergarment studded with small vion Thous to teas hisfech. Andthent weak in lode, his mid weak witt fastup, at hurt he had hus "visions."

What did it all mean? This seemuiph senseless scampi $f$ the body line the ancient monks of the desserts in Egypt and Syne.
(i) Was it penance in past sins? Pat ind be Brinical - but that
was not pminaily the reason th thus radical mutyicetion of ho trod. He aid hussiff, later,

(2) Wos it कo strenpthen himseef apainst frither sin? This pribably also had smenetting to do with t. Bat he peesly admitted that in the mudit it all his vodent seff-triture he sometmin came nean wantrip toit the deally sin 4 suriade.
(3) Was it to gain menit. He admits that the thitht came to hum abso. He write, at me time duri an Mness braput abrat by his austenties, that he began to thunte, his penarces [he had I nichly eamed eternal salvation." (itad, p. 43, cithy linzeles).
(4) But all in all - it seems apperant that to Lonote, all of thes buffetrip o his hordy was but a form o s self-dusuplmee to prepere him ifr senice in the arrmy the King. Io he fut it, 路 the mommy if his part $\sin s$ faded bepre the consuming wecesicty to be readry "to to great deeds in the sence q Chist." (ibid). But how. He etaited haitrval
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proporitin a puaglung intestude $f$ social serice to the mban pm , destinte, that often anned int and cult. ile fanatiasion and fainturip and convulsions amon his friemes which Ignation chad nut kute know how to conthat. A He leyt Spain, when the cunthrities, alarmed, prbade the excenes is his meitups. And tured 5 stucty - He entred the Unis. y Pain - and may at times have pased by another student thes at the same time, all unkunving: A 9 billoint yony homaint nomed gotm Celvin who was reads all the chances $A$ inith conld now not won reed later.

The eerinise, 1 stett modu-educeted lyita fid a nommente.

 plans In Xanas. He unild occesimelly let a Briste wese dup int the comenation, the "What does it proht a man if he gain the whale unld and lne his orm sunt?" "Pomposs 'hypuente'," Xanes that to huncef. Bat only

In a white. luyik was a stranpely compelling mam. Othes stundarts beean to qatther and listen to him. ite pessuaded Xavien of He otherr - six phus londa - 5 spame'ds, a prenchman th a Protiguese to practure what he called "the spintual Exerases" - on almont famatically ascetic stifle of living - no heet in the Nome, in example; sleeprin at inpits on a urod-pile, going in six dap at a tume withint frod. Ais All this combined with a very mpoions and stucth prescubed couse of denstinal meditation. This is the way le troned lis firter musimaies tr recaptone Eurofe furm the Protestant, ad $\$$ wim the iunld bre Chis. In $153 y$ stindents
 Ifs cantal theme was ceedence. Not puipurnies, uot mben siveial service, not forcotical ascetisim, the very, very sumple lye-state.
There had all keen thed - and were vit wholly abondined - but they were init inutut. The centrad theme was ohedunce. And uot smple isecturceIt was a drected obedonce, a misiman crederci. 5
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about which thiness intellectuals fore insatiably curious. (Fulonmillor,
 were more widelv used as missionary tools by the cesuits than even the Bible. Rut they did make effective use of Christian literature in the form of beautifully rritten theolocical tractis, usually nresented as philosophical discussions.
3. Sociolocical and nolitical orarmatism. Tho Josuits were pragmatists, not doctriraire idealists in matters of mission policy. $\because h e n$ they first entered 'hina, rishino to rain recornition as men of biety and relision and not attract attention as forpigeers, they ton!s off their nriestly mbes and dressed as Buddhist monks. Later, when ?icci discovered that the Buddhists were not as greatly resnected as he had thought, but, were considered illiterate and lazy, he promptly orderad the missimaries to chancre their dress to that of a more presticious class, the onfucian scholars. This same princiole of bramatism Ied them to direct theim cfforts toward the ruling classes rather then the masses, in the hope that thereby thev could influence the Chinese court to onen un the country freety to the proparation of the 'E'eristian relifion. Risci tried to reach the Mino mmesors, and after the fell of the Minr, his successors, Ham Schall ond Verbiest, were at last successful in oainine the favor of the nore Manchu mulers. Tho policer was tiinallor vindicated when, in 1692, the mimeror $K^{\prime}$ anc Hsi, tho ras rreatly imnreased br Verbiest, granted an edict of toleration, and for the first time in ome 300 reare the Ohristian foith
 That victorv, soon followed by disaster. It was these same whind cinjes of accommodation and nracmatic adantation to ciremmstances that soon embroiled the Jesuit missinnories in a controversy which was to divide the Cotholic missinns arainst each other, crionle the chinese church, alienate the Imperial onurt, and finollv lead to the dissolution of the Jesuit Mission itself. It is called the Rjotes contromersy, and lasted for a hindred stomy years, from 1543 to 174 ?

The main moirt at issup was whether christians should be 27. Doved to narticinate in the chinese mtes of ancostor rorshin. Other issues wore ols involver, such as what Chinece name should be used for the Ghristian God, and how far Christians mioht follow Chiness funeral customs, but the central issue was ancestor worshin. The Jesuits ari? that Jhristians should adant as far as possible to Ghinece vavs and "batime" the rites for gristion use. Put other Catholic missionam sonioties, notahl:r tho Dnminicans, iealous of Jemit sucosen onindemned tho noliont as a cummonise whth beathenism.

The Dominicans took thoir charees to the Pone. as it rimht, ther asked, for vhanese Christians to contribute to community sacrifices to nacan diviniteos; to atteno olilcial sacrifices II ther concealed under their clothes a cross; to take nart in sacrifices to coniucius ana to honor tne ancestral tabletsi whe answer of the rope, In ain eunci of 1545 , was "in". Plit the Jesurcs au urve objected tnat tne yominicans nad misrepresented their nolicr, and exmlaineu an great detail to the pono rhat they realiv taugil. Do in lobo the poye reveroeu witmselficul paphillempomite practices described abr oy the uomanicans were trong, as descriゅwea dy the Jøsuits therre trero all rioht. The edict つernitted Ohinese Christians to ohserve all civil and nolitical corenonies, anu even "ceremonios in honor of the dead" orovided that
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" if in wo other sectorn of Ipuest Amence had a gimp 7 misinas axumey uncurluzed thinios ansen \& ench frimice, in wo thes wos the eventual him so sadty ofectaculen." (lat. p. 156 )
 dnpat that fanched the lour, they wre eppelled. The kni called them back; the nains came, $t$ they had greet snceen. There in Vietnam, one $q$ the
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Missinlocr：
thenr suberstitious features wese removed，ana even nernitting the siberstiflous ceremulles il Uhrıuılans attending tnem at the same time disavowed the superstitrous 1 eatuses witn a nublic protestation of thelr Ia\＆th．（Latourette，fistorv of Jhristian Missions in Chına， M．I．，MacıM上」an，1229，o．1’35 さ゚f．）

Despite the comoromise，the controwners sorear．Arainst the Jusuits were the Dominicans and the Fredun Mission．For the Jesilits reere the Franciscans，the Ausustinians and the onlor Chinese bishop in Ghina，a Dominican．In 1700 the Emoror $K^{\prime}$ ang Hsi tripd to hel his Jesuit friends with an announcement that＂honors naj．d to Comiuclus＂were onlir to Confucius as a leoisletor and not to joniucius as a relitious Leader；and that ancestral mtes were onlv a＂demonstra＿ tion of love and a commemoration of the cood the dead had done during their lives＂．（Lhtourette，on．cit．n．14u）．But though the Jesuits had the Goeror and most of the China Oatholic missions on their side，In twex the Roman church it takes just one vote to win $a$ controversv－．．the PoDe＇s．And in L＇クU4 the Jesuits lost that nee imnontant vote．

On IIOV．2U，17U4，Pone Clement XI confimned a tecree of the Lnquisition mulinc aoainst Jesuit oolicies in China．It contained three main noints：

1．It forbade the use of Shang Ti ，and＇Tien $a s$ the Chinese name for Gnd，but nemitted the use of Tlien－Chu（Lord of Heaven）．
？．It forbane Chrittians to take part in sacrifices to conficius or to ancestors．
3．It forbade ancestral tablets marked＂the cnume of the snirit of the dead＂，but nemitted ancestral tahlets if they carried onl the name of the dead ancestor．

The raaction ras stormy and violent．The Pooe sent envoys to try to onforce the decrees，and to persuade the Jesuits to accont them．The envoys 土̇alled．The Pone issuen panal Bulls（decrees） threatenins abl who oposed his decision．But the Chinese Emperor， whose sympathies were alı with the Jesuits，simply retused to allor the Bishop of Peking to post the Bulls or publicize them．He said， ＂II the Pone can＇t entorce a．Brli aoainst the Jansenists in Catnolic France（reterring to a dispute rith durustinianism there），how can he enforce one aoainst Christians in nö－Uhrisulan China．＂Not until $174 亡$ was the pone able to enforce his decision and demand absolute subrission frmm Jatholics in Chına，but by then he had so ancered the Chinese Emperor that a wave of persecution set in from which tne chirch dia not recover for a hundred years．In $\perp$ な $\downarrow$ all Cnanese Uhristians had already been ordered to renounce the Christian faith．

Wuch can be said on both sides of tne contmversy．On the one hand the panal posytion orotected the interrity and ourity and unlqueness of the Chrıstlas Iaュth，whicn is limortent．But on the other，it lunavoidably stiomatinen the Christian faith in China as foreion ens un－＇hinese：and it，led direct？to the break－up of the most successful missionam societr the Cwtholics had evor had in Ghina，the Tesiits．For its resistance azzinst the Pone the Snciety of Jesus ras dissolred br Rome in 1774．hatever the merits on either side of the controverst，the not remilt，was a huadred vears of nersemution and an abmint end to chumoh grovth in Ghins．
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Toten the Mavévas cagoturs Pefeny in 1664, the thenp ciurf retreated to the serth + lived in fi milin and ottercities: Yesuits, whoaccompermef the cirust, convertel mosto the rengl houshied tochrity. Thi motherig the lest ming clacmant to the tinoul was taptgot hory, his wip, ame, this son, Cinstontine. Goven the giveno of the provncest the commonding gim'al $q$ the ming forces uven X'rus.
(net, Foemaghic,
vil. 156 , the 4
oct.1979
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